



# **HDD Design Summary Report Crossings HDD 3 to HDD 8 in Segment 3 – Package 1C**

**Whitehall to Fort Ann  
Washington County, New York**

---

---

*CHA Project Number: 066076*

***Prepared for:***  
***Transmission Developers Inc.***  
*1301 Avenue of the Americas, 26<sup>th</sup> Floor*  
*New York, NY 10019*

***Prepared by:***  
***CHA Consulting, Inc.***  
*III Winners Circle*  
*Albany, New York 12205*  
*(518) 453-4500*

***November 2022***

---

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.0	INTRODUCTION.....	1
1.1	Purpose.....	1
2.0	PROJECT DESCRIPTION .....	1
3.0	BACKGROUND .....	2
4.0	SITE CONDITIONS .....	3
4.1.1	Project Datum and Topography .....	3
4.1.2	Geotechnical Data .....	4
5.0	DESIGN SUMMARY .....	7
5.1	Geometry and Layout.....	8
5.2	Subsurface Model Development.....	10
5.2.1	BoreAid Analysis .....	10
5.2.2	Inadvertent Return and Hydro-fracture Analysis.....	11
5.3	Limitations.....	12
6.0	CONSTRUCTION CONSIDERATIONS .....	13
6.1	Risk Awareness and Assessment.....	13
6.2	Site Analysis .....	13
6.3	Erosion Control.....	13
6.4	Surveillance and Monitoring .....	13
7.0	REFERENCES .....	14

## LIST OF REFERENCED APPENDICES

Appendix A: Workzones

Appendix B: Locus Map

Appendix C: Geotechnical Data Report for CHPE Segment 3 – Package 1C HDDs

Appendix D: BoreAid HDD Simulation Output

Appendix E: HDD Design Drawings

Appendix F: Proposed Soil Properties for CHPE Segment 3 – Package 1C HDDs

## **1.0 INTRODUCTION**

### **1.1 PURPOSE**

The Champlain Hudson Power Express (CHPE) consists of installing a pair of HVDC electrical transmission cables with an associated telecommunications line from Canada to New York City. The portion of the work addressed herein is located in the upland portion of the route from the south end of Lake Champlain to New York City along the uplands of the Hudson River Valley. This work includes approximately 170 crossings under roads, railroads, wetlands water bodies, and obstructions to be installed using horizontal directional drilling (HDD) methods to minimize interference with use or impacts to the environment. This Design Summary Report addresses the design for the HDD crossings in Segment 3 – Package 1C from Whitehall to Fort Ann. These crossings are designated HDD 3 through HDD 8, inclusive of A designations

The purposes of this Design Summary Report are to provide the following:

- Review of the existing geological, hydrogeological, and geotechnical conditions for HDD 3 through HDD 8 for a total of 14 crossings (2 per site) in the Segment 3 – Package 1C.
- Provide a descriptive narrative of the HDD Crossings in support of the attached design drawings and technical specifications.
- Present stress and inadvertent release analyses that support the proposed designs.
- Evaluate construction considerations including inadvertent return mitigation.

## **2.0 PROJECT DESCRIPTION**

The proposed CHPE route follows the Hudson River Valley of New York. The new transmission line will be approximately 146 miles in length, extending from the south end of Lake Champlain to Astoria, NY. Segment 3 – Package 1C is located in approximately a 5.9-mile section of the route in Washington County, New York.

A Project Locus Map and a plan showing the locations of the HDD 3 through HDD 8 crossings are presented in Appendix B.

The HDD crossing addressed in this report are located as shown in Table 1 below:

**Table 1: HDD Locations, Lengths, and Description**

<b>HDD #</b>	<b>Start Station</b>	<b>End Station</b>	<b>HDD Length, ft</b>	<b>Obstruction Crossed</b>
3	15074+25	15093+20	1893, 1894	Wetlands
4	15138+60	15144+75	628, 650	Culvert
4A	15163+0505	15170+50	735, 760	Railroad Tracks
5	15175+15	15182+40	712, 723	Culvert & Wetlands
6	15218+15	15231+50	1339, 1453	Railroad Tracks & Culvert
7	15256+05	15269+00	1305	Wetlands
8	15295+95	15302+55	655, 838	Railroad Tracks & Culvert

### 3.0 BACKGROUND

The underground construction of two HVDC electrical transmission cables is proposed to be housed in individual 10-inch-diameter DR 9 HDPE conduits spaced a distance dependent on depth and soil Thermal Resistivity (TR) values provided by NKT and as shown on drawing plans. A third, 2-inch-diameter DR 9 conduit will be bundled with one of the 10-inch diameter conduits for a telecommunications line. Longer and deeper bores may require a larger diameter (i.e. 12-inch and 3 inch) and larger DR values (i.e. DR 7) to resist tension stresses during installation and collapsing long-term. This is checked and determined on a case-by-case basis and design sizes are shown on the design drawings shown in Appendix E. The conduits are to be installed in 16 to 22-inch final ream diameter bore holes. The proposal is to install the cables at least 25 feet below congested areas, roads, railroads, under/around other obstructions, 15 to 25 feet below wetland and small streams, and 35 to 45 feet below open bodies (i.e. ponds, lakes, canals, and rivers) of water using HDD methods. HDD is a widely used trenchless construction method to install conduits with limited disturbance to the ground around the bore alignment, minimal ground surface impacts above the alignment, and to minimize the potential of inadvertent releases of drilling fluids while boring. The goal for using HDD methods is to install the conduits while controlling and minimizing the amount of impact to congested areas, existing underground obstructions, and to the adjacent wetlands to the extent possible.



## 4.0 SITE CONDITIONS

### 4.1.1 Project Datum and Topography

#### HDD #3

HDD #3 consists of two bores, approximately 1893 and 1894 feet long crossing wetlands and open water to the west of Canadian Pacific (CP) Rail railroad tracks at the CP Rail railroad yard in Whitehall, NY. The ground surface elevations along the HDD path gently slope down from North to South starting at El. 127 and reaching El. 121. About 100' north of the entry, the drill passes underneath open water in a wetland with standing water at about El. 116 (reference datum NAVD 1988).

#### HDD #4

HDD #4 consists of two straight HDD bores, approximately 628 and 650 feet long, crossing under an 84" reinforced concrete culvert pipe (RCP) on the west side of tracks approximately 630 feet east of the Champlain Canal. The ground surface elevations along the HDD path gently undulates between El. 124 and El. 121 for the majority of the run before taking a dip to El. 114 at the southern end, approximately 70% through the alignment (reference datum NAVD 1988).

#### HDD #4A

HDD #4A consists of two straight HDD bores, approximately 755 feet long, that cross from the west side of the CP Rail railroad tracks, under the tracks, and to the east side approximately 500 feet east of the Champlain Canal. The ground surface elevations along the HDD path gently builds from around El. 116 to El. 124 under the tracks and then gently back down to around El. 113 on the south end of the alignment (reference datum NAVD 1988).

#### HDD #5

HDD #5 consists of two straight HDD bores, approximately 712 and 723 feet long, located on the east side of the CP Rail railroad tracks that pass underneath an arm of the Champlain Canal. There are four (4) 84" corrugated metal pipe (CMP) running underneath the tracks for the canal at this location. It is approximately 200 feet from the Champlain Canal to the east. The ground surface elevations along the HDD path gently undulates around El. 117 to El. 124 aside from an El. drop to approximately El. 111 at the canal edges (reference datum NAVD 1988). The culverts have

inverts at a range of El. 107.7 to El. 109.2 (reference datum NAVD 1988). The depth of water at this crossing is not known. A water depth of 5 feet was assumed for design purposes and analysis.

#### HDD #6

HDD #6 consists of two horizontally curved HDD bores, approximately 1339 and 1453 feet long, crossing the CP Rail railroad tracks from the east side to the west. Both bores cross the tracks at, and under open water immediately west of a 84" RCP crossing at an arm of the Champlain Canal. It is approximately 400 feet west from the Champlain Canal. The ground surface elevations along the HDD path ends hover around El. 120 with the tracks at the center of the path reaching a peak at El. 127 (reference datum NAVD 1988). The culvert invert ranges El. 112.3 (on the west side of the tracks) to El. 109.4 (on the east) (reference datum NAVD 1988).

#### HDD #7

HDD# 7 consists of two, straight, HDD bores, approximately 1305 feet long, crossing underneath wetlands and standing water. It is approximately 580' from the Champlain Canal to the east. The ground surface elevations along the HDD path gently undulates between El. 121 and El. 119 (reference datum NAVD 1988). The depth of water at this crossing is not known. A water depth of 5 feet was assumed for design purposes and analysis.

#### HDD #8

HDD #8 consists of two straight HDD bores; the eastern bore is approximately 655 and 838 feet long, while the western bore is approximately 802 feet long. Both bores cross the CP Rail railroad tracks from the west to the east side of the tracks heading out of rail R.O.W. and towards N Old Rte 4. It is approximately 500 feet from the Champlain Canal to the east. The ground surface elevations along the HDD path gently undulates between El. 133 and El. 129, with the largest change in elevation occurring within the first 20% of the bores (reference datum NAVD 1988).

### **4.1.2 Geotechnical Data**

#### HDD #3

Subsurface investigations were conducted in 2012 by TRC for Transmission Developers, Inc. and in 2021/2022 by Kiewit. There are two borings at HDD #3: B113.4-1 and K-113.5. Bore B113.4-1, that reached a depth of 30 feet, consists mostly of soft to medium stiff clayey silt under a thin

layer of loose fill. Bore K-113.5, terminated at a depth of 52 feet, shows an initial 6 foot layer of fill (silty sand with gravel) over a fat, medium stiff to stiff clay to 30 feet, then very soft to soft clay to 52 feet. The Geotechnical Data Report for this location is provided in Appendix C.

Based on the borings, the soil profile for the HDD #3 BoreAid analyses will be divided into three [3] layers: Medium dense poorly graded sand, medium stiff fat clay, and very soft to soft fat clay. The soil profiles used for BoreAid analyses of the HDDs in this segment are presented in Appendix D.

#### HDD #4

Subsurface investigations were conducted in 2012 by TRC for Transmission Developers, Inc. and in 2021/2022 by Kiewit. There are two bores at HDD #4: B114.4-1 and K-114.6. B114.4-1 bore log, reaching a depth of 30 feet, indicates fill over a thin layer of soft clayey silt all over soft to medium stiff clay. Bore K-114.6 terminated at a depth of 72 feet. This bore indicates a 10 to 12 foot initial layer of fill (silty sand with gravel; moist) over wet, a fat medium stiff to stiff clay to 22 feet, then very soft to soft clay to 72 feet. The Geotechnical Data Report for this location is provided in Appendix C.

Based on the borings, the soil profile for the HDD #4 BoreAid analyses will be divided into five [5] layers: Gravel, loose silt, medium stiff clay, and two layers of lower soft clays. The soil profiles used for BoreAid analyses of the HDDs in this segment are presented in Appendix D.

#### HDD #4A

No subsurface investigations were conducted at HDD #4A. The soil conditions at this location were estimated based on nearby borings since borings within the 4A HDD alignment were not available. It is recommended that the HDD subcontractor drill a test boring at the start of construction at the HDD 4A site before starting the HDD to confirm the ground conditions.

Based on the adjacent borings, the soil profile for HDD #4A BoreAid analysis was divided into three [3] layers: gravel, medium stiff lean clay, and very soft to soft fat clay. The soil profiles used for BoreAid analyses of the HDDs in this segment are presented in Appendix D.

### HDD #5

Subsurface investigations were conducted in 2013 by TRC for Transmission Developers, Inc. and in 2021/2022 by Kiewit. There are two borings at HDD #5: B115.2-1 and K-115.2. that reached a depth of 30 feet. Bore B115.2-1 indicates that there is a thin layer of loose fill (ash and cinders) over medium stiff to soft clayey silt. Bore K-115.2, reaching a deeper depth of 30 feet, shows a 10 foot layer of medium stiff lean clay over moist, plastic soft fat clay. The Geotechnical Data Report for this location is provided in Appendix C.

Based on the borings and the elevation and location of that bore, the soil profile for the HDD #5 BoreAid analyses will be divided into three [3] layers: gravel, medium stiff lean clay, and soft fat clay. The soil profiles used for BoreAid analyses of the HDDs in this segment are presented in Appendix D.

### HDD #6

Subsurface investigations were conducted in 2013 by TRC for Transmission Developers, Inc. and in 2021/2022 by Kiewit. There are three borings at HDD #6: B116.1-1, B116.2-2, and K-116.1. Borings B116.1-1 and B116.2-2, both reaching a depth of 30 feet, indicate loose fill over stiff to soft clayey silt with loose silty sand at the bottom ranging from 23.5 to 18.5 feet deep. Bore K116.1, terminated at a depth of 65 feet, shows an initial 4 to 5 foot layer of fill over 11 to 12 feet of medium stuff lean clay, 2 to 3 feet of soft fat clay, of lean clay, 2 to 3 feet of fat clay, all over a 10 foot layer of well graded sand. Fat clay continues to the end of the bore. The Geotechnical Data Report for this location is provided in Appendix C.

Based on the borings, the soil profile for the HDD #6 BoreAid analyses will be divided into five [5] layers: Loose silt, medium stiff lean clay, second layer of loose silt, poorly graded medium dense sand, and soft clay. The soil profiles used for BoreAid analyses of the HDDs in this segment are presented in Appendix D.

### HDD #7

Subsurface investigations were conducted in 2012 by TRC for Transmission Developers, Inc. and in 2021/2022 by Kiewit. There are two borings at HDD #7: B116.8-1 and K-116.9. Bore B116.8-1, that reached a depth of 65 feet, indicates medium dense sand to about 10 to 15 feet deep. The remainder of the bore is medium stiff clay over soft to very soft clay. Bore K-116.9, terminated at

a depth of 67 feet, shows a fat medium stiff to stiff clay to 32 feet, then very soft to soft clay to 67 feet under an initial 15 to 16 foot layer of fill (mainly silty sand with gravel). The Geotechnical Data Report for this location is provided in Appendix C.

Based on the borings and the elevation and location of that bore, the soil profile for the HDD #7 BoreAid analyses will be divided into three [3] layers: poorly graded medium dense sand, stiff lean clay, and soft to very soft fat clay. The soil profiles used for BoreAid analyses of the HDDs in this segment are presented in Appendix D.

#### HDD #8

Subsurface investigations were conducted in 2021 by AECOM for Transmission Developers, Inc. There are two borings at HDD #8: WFE-1C and WFE-1. Both bores reach a depth of 40'. Both bores indicate that there is mostly medium stiff to soft silty clay underneath a layer of loose sand (Poorly Graded Sand). The Geotechnical Data Report for this location is provided in Appendix C.

Based on the borings, the soil profile for the HDD #8 BoreAid analyses will be divided into two [2] layers: Poorly graded sand and medium stiff fat clay. The soil profiles used for BoreAid analyses of the HDDs in this segment are presented in Appendix D.

## **5.0 DESIGN SUMMARY**

The HDD construction process in soils generally consists of three steps:

Step 1: Drill a small diameter (approximately 7 to 9 inches diameter) pilot hole along the preplanned bore path. During the pilot hole boring, the location of the drill bit is tracked to confirm that it is following the planned path. If the drilling is observed to start to deviate from the planned path, corrections are made using a “bent” lead drilling section and controlled rotation of drill pipe string. The drill bit is design to cut through the soil in combination with pressurized drilling fluid assisting the cutting of the soil, and transport of the cuttings to the entry pit for removal. The drilling fluid is generally a combination of bentonite (a clay mineral) and water, combined with NSF certified additives to support sides of the borehole and to better carry the cuttings to the entry pit at lower pressures and velocities. The drilling fluids used under waterbodies and wetland areas

are typically required in the project specifications to be “non-toxic and environmentally friendly”. Once the pilot bore reaches the exit point, the next step of the process, hole enlargement begins.

Step 2: Enlarge the pilot hole to the diameter required for insertion of the conduits. This is accomplished by using successively larger reaming bits pulled through the pilot bore to gradually enlarge the bore from about 8 inches diameter to 16 to 22 inches diameter to accommodate in this case a HDPE conduit about 10 inches in diameter in one bore and a bundle of two conduits, one 10 inches diameter and the other 2 inches diameter, that are to be pulled into the enlarged bore hole. We estimate that one, and possibly a second reaming pass, will be used to create the 16 to 22 inch diameter borehole. This pulling in of a bundle of conduits is sometimes referred to as a slick bore. During this step, the borehole is still filled with drilling fluid to support the sides of the bore hole in preparation for Step 3, the insertion of the conduit.

Step 3: Pull the conduits into the enlarged hole. While the pilot hole and reaming operations are ongoing, the contractor will also be fabricating the conduits to be installed. The conduits come in about 40-foot-long sections and need to be fusion butt welded, debaded internally, and arranged for the pullback into to the borehole. Ideally, the complete conduit (or bundle of conduits) will be welded (and bundled) into one long length for insertion. The goal is usually to pull the bundle into the bore in one, continuous, smooth, around the clock, operation. However, depending on work area and access constraints, sometimes the pipe is assembled in 2 or 3 lengths that then joined (welded), “on the fly” as the conduit (bundle) is slowly pulled into the borehole. As the conduit (bundle) is pulled into the hole it may be ballasted with clean water, and some of the drilling fluid supporting the sides of the hole is displaced by the conduit and collected for eventual disposal. Upon completion of the conduit installation, the conduit will be allowed to relax and come to equilibrium in the hole, and the conduit will be cleaned and capped as described in the HDD technical specifications.

## **5.1 GEOMETRY AND LAYOUT**

The HDD profiles are generally defined by the following parameters:

- Entry point location;
- Exit point location;

- Entry angle;
- Exit angle;
- Horizontal and vertical radius of Curvature;
- Lengths of tangent sections;
- Length of crossing;
- Depth of crossing and depth of cover;
- Site constraints and obstructions; and
- Available work and layout areas

The proposed bore paths entry angle, exit angle, and a vertical and horizontal design radii of curvature for each HDD crossing in this segment are shown in the design drawings provided in Appendix E. Inadvertent release prevention and mitigation plans for each HDD crossing are provided as separate documents.

The design drawings that summarize the proposed HDD installations are in Appendix E. The HDD technical specifications are found in Section 33057.13 of the Technical Specifications. Inadvertent release prevention and mitigation plans for each HDD crossing are provided as separate documents.

The site conditions posed various challenges in developing a design that is both constructible and minimizes the potential for negative environmental impacts. The proposed design has entry and exit pits areas constrained by available easements and traffic constraints. Available work areas may limit the lengths of the conduit that can be pre-assembled, necessitating having to pre-assemble the bundle several segments that will have to be welded together during the pull back. Workzone requirements are shown in Appendix A. HDD specific work areas at the entry and exit ends of the bores are noted on the drawings in Appendix E. In addition, space and easement constraints will require that during pullback, the above ground sections of the conduit will not be straight and will require rollers to accommodate a horizontal bend. Conduit assembly is expected to be performed at the ends of the alignment shown on the drawings in Appendix E for HDD specific work areas. In some cases, the limited work area at the one end of the HDD alignment, may require that the drilling and reaming prior to pullback be performed by the HDD rig located at the one end of the alignment, but the HDD rig may need to be relocated to the other end of the alignment for the pullback/conduit installation phase of the work. In addition, for some longer

bores in soft/weak ground conditions, the intersection bore method may be used to better control the risk of inadvertent drilling fluid releases.

## **5.2 SUBSURFACE MODEL DEVELOPMENT**

A subsurface model was developed based on the boring logs as approximate representation of subsurface conditions along the proposed HDD alignment. BoreAid Version 5.0.14 (2015) modeling software (a product of Vermeer) was used to model the HDD. Geotechnical input parameters of the soil were estimated as described below.

The internal friction angles (AASHTO LRFD, Ed. 7) were estimated using the Standard Penetration Test (SPT) blow counts. The shear modulus (G) of each layer was estimated using soil density or consistency based on SPT blow count (N-value) and representative soil layer descriptions were used to estimate Young's Modulus (E) using Hunt (1986). The shear modulus was estimated using the relationship  $G = E/[2(1+\nu)]$ , taking Poisson's Ratio ( $\nu$ ) equal to 0.3. Dry and saturated unit weights were selected based on soil type using Table 2-8 from the Manual on Estimating Soil Properties for Foundation Design (EPRI, 1990). For cohesive soils, cohesion was estimated based on empirical correlations with SPT blow counts (EPRI 1990). Tables for soil properties used for the HDDs in Segment 3 – Package 1C are presented in Appendix F.

### **5.2.1 BoreAid Analysis**

For the BoreAid analyses, the pipe configuration analyzed was for a pipe with a dimension ratio (DR) of 9 which is assumed to not be ballasted with water during pullback to create a near neutral buoyancy. The following conduit configurations will be used:

- 1) An individual 10-inch-diameter DR 9 HDPE conduit, and
- 2) A bundle consisting of a 10-inch-diameter DR 9 HDPE conduit and a 2-inch-diameter DR 9 HDPE conduit

The stresses and deflections of the pipe are evaluated and compared to allowable values as shown on the BoreAid runs presented in Appendix D.



In addition, a run where 2-inch-diameter DR 9 HDPE conduit is modeled alone was performed to check stresses in that conduit.

### **5.2.2 Inadvertent Return and Hydro-fracture Analysis**

BoreAid modeling software was used to perform inadvertent return analyses for each HDD alignment. The bore path alignment was selected and checked so that the allowable bore pressures are greater than the static and circulating pressures throughout most of the alignment except at the ends. The allowable pressures are related to in-situ ground and water stresses around the bore hole, and the strength of the ground. The Limiting Formation Pressure Figure indicates a generally acceptable factor of safety against the potential for inadvertent return along the proposed bore paths except at the ends.

Based on the bore path selection process, areas with the greatest potential for an inadvertent return were examined and adjusted during the design process to further limit the risks associated with an inadvertent return when possible. The entry and exit points exhibited the greatest potential for inadvertent returns. The depth of the entry/exit pits should be considered by the Contractor to increase the effective soil stress and provide a storage volume for returns to and near the entry and exit points. Note that while the potential for inadvertent return has been reduced through the design process, inadvertent returns are still possible through existing fissures in the soil or rock, shrinkage cracks, weak soils, or porous deposits of coarse gravel.

Fractures within and/or inadvertent releases through the surrounding soils may cause loss of drilling fluid pressures or inadvertent return of drilling fluid into the wetlands. The areas of greatest concern are reduced soil cover over the bore alignment and where there is a risk of release to the wetlands. The contractor will be required to institute pre-emptive measures in this area to mitigate the effects of a release in the event that one should occur. Such measures may include containment booms and a standby vacuum truck to collect any released drilling fluids immediately. Ground heave or settlement from inadvertent releases also pose risks to structures such as roadways. The HDD alignment was designed with geometries to providing enough soil cover to reduce the risk of inadvertent return. The Inadvertent Release Contingency Plan details additional methods for mitigating inadvertent returns.

### 5.3 LIMITATIONS

The structural analysis and inadvertent return mitigation analysis were performed using the proposed design bore paths and typically anticipated equipment and means and methods. The HDD subcontractor must submit structural and inadvertent return mitigation calculations and analysis for each bore path, including their final bore path geometry reflecting its specific equipment and contractor's specific means, methods, drilling fluids, and proposed final contractor refined final planned alignment. It is important to note that the Kiewit Design Team's analysis has been done without consideration for point loading due to unpredictable subsurface features such as encountering rocks, boulders, or other extremely dense material that may damage the conduit. The risk of such conduit damage is low yet has been reported on some projects in recent years.

## **6.0 CONSTRUCTION CONSIDERATIONS**

### **6.1 RISK AWARENESS AND ASSESSMENT**

The risks to be aware of during HDD include: inadvertent returns or fluid loss; any potential obstructions blocking or causing large deviations from the planned bore path; and electromagnetic effects on the HDD steering equipment from nearby high voltage power lines.

### **6.2 SITE ANALYSIS**

A site analysis must be performed prior to commencing HDD operations. Considerations might need to be taken for items such as for site access, construction of HDD entry and exit pits, and layout area for equipment and supplies.

### **6.3 EROSION CONTROL**

The proposed bore path crosses under roads, parking lots, water, stormwater and gas and electric utility lines, as well as under streams/wetlands, bodies of water, and railroads. The soil erosion control drawing will show where primary soil erosion control measures are required. The technical specifications and Inadvertent Release Contingency Plan both detail the requirements for both primary and secondary sediment and erosion control measures to be followed in case of an inadvertent return, which ultimately could deposit the fine bentonite sediment into the stream or wetland or bodies of water if not controlled. Construction of the exit pit will be close to the stream/wetlands. Silt fence, straw bales, and other soil erosion control measures will be required to be installed as shown in the construction drawings. Secondary control measures are to be readily accessible at or near the work areas in accordance with the project specifications and Inadvertent Release Contingency Plan.

### **6.4 SURVEILLANCE AND MONITORING**

During installation of the pipe by HDD, monitoring the stream, wetlands, waterbodies and bore alignment for indications of potential inadvertent returns or inadvertent releases will be necessary. The contractor will have primary responsibility for this monitoring and associated response and reporting in real-time. This will be accomplished as detailed in the Inadvertent Release Contingency Plan. Continuous visual inspection of the entire path is the most significant method

of detection. However, an experienced drill crew can often prevent a return by monitoring drilling fluid pressures. A loss of pressure may indicate inadvertent release has occurred. Regardless of the level of preparation, inspection, monitoring, etc., inadvertent returns are not always possible to predict or prevent. However, a significant effort can minimize the possibility but not eliminate it.

## **7.0 REFERENCES**

American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials. (2014). AASHTO LRFD bridge design specifications, Seventh edition, U.S. customary units. Washington, DC: American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials.

Mayne, P.W., and Kulhawy, F.H. (1990). Manual on Estimating Soil Properties for Foundation Design. Electric Power Research Institute (EPRI).

Hunt, R.E. (1986). Geotechnical Engineering Analysis and Evaluation, McGraw-Hill Book Company, New York.

## Appendix A

### Workzones

## Appendix A

### HDD WORK ZONE CONFIGURATION CONSIDERATIONS


#### Introduction:

In general, HDD requires ample space for both entry and exit operations, work area, or Work zones. The HDD contractor or subcontractor ideally wants to consolidate all operations within these footprints. The exit Work zone also includes a narrower extension for the assembly of the full length pull back string of conduit or pipe. The size of these desired Work zones is driven by rig size in Table 1.

TYPICAL HDD ENTRY AND EXIT WORKSPACE		
SYSTEM DESCRIPTION	ENTRY WORKSPACE	EXIT WORKSPACE
MAXI (<24"–<48")	150' X 350'	150' X 250'
MIDI (<12"–<24")	150' X 250'	100' X 200'
MINI (<2"–<12")	VARIES PER SITE	VARIES PER SITE

**TABLE 1**

An example of an entry Work zones is shown in Figure 1a below.



**FIGURE 1a: Typical Entry Work Zone Configuration**

An example of an exit Work zones is shown in Figure 1b below.

## Appendix A

### HDD WORK ZONE CONFIGURATION CONSIDERATIONS




FIGURE 1b: Typical Exit Work Zone Configuration

Work zones should also be able to facilitate contingencies for space to recover a failed bore hole and a new offset bore, the ability swap entry for exit, or in some cases rigs on both ends.

#### CHPE Project Limitations:

Available Work zone areas for the Champlain Hudson Power Express Project (CHPE) are constrained because the project occupies a narrow existing corridor and is essential in a linear brown field. This is complicated by the rail corridor which precedes most forms of environmental regulations, and it traverses numerous wetlands or other sensitive areas which affects available Work zone areas.

We have assumed the majority of HDDs will be accommodated by a Mini or Midi HDD class machine and support equipment, <12-inch diameter and 1500 feet individual bores.

1. Ideally, an Entry workspace approximately 20 to 25 feet wide x 150 to 200 feet long for a small rig with a mounted pipe rack and self-contained power unit and operator control cabin on the rig; a separate mud mixing and pumping unit, plus a separate mud processing and separation unit support by equipment arranged linearly. Since each crossing is a pair two, 20 x 150 Work zones are equivalent to a 40 x 150 overall work area, and we have assumed the support equipment will be set once for both HDDs. It is also assumed existing roads or access roads will parallel one side of a Work zone.
2. Ideally, an exit workspace approximately 15 to 20 feet wide and between 60% and 110% of the bore length is needed to layout and assemble the conduit for pullback.

A somewhat smaller entry Work zones may be possible depending on drill rig specifics and the availability of nearby areas for support equipment support operations. The project will have remote

## Appendix A

### HDD WORK ZONE CONFIGURATION CONSIDERATIONS

yards. Small work areas tend to reduce access and efficiency of operations, raise costs, but are necessitated by the specific project and site constraints.

See Figure 1c below covers general considerations and typical workspace configurations drafted for the CHPE Project.

GROUND TYPE	RIG SIZE	BORE LENGTH (ft)	WORK AREA (ft <sup>2</sup> )	NOMINAL FOOTPRINT (ft x ft)
SOIL	Large/Maxi	>2,500	37,500*	150 x 250*
	Medium/Midi	1000-2500	15,000*	100 x 150*
	Small/Mini	<1000	3,000*	30 x 100*
ROCK	Large/Maxi	>2,500	37,500*	150 x 250*
	Small/Mini & Medium/Midi	1000-2500	15,000*	100 x 150*
PIPE ASSEMBLY	ALL	ALL	**	25 x (conduit length + 50)**

**Notes:**

\* The entry and exit workspaces typically need space for a drill rig and support equipment such as a pipe rack, power unit operator control cabin, a mud mixing and pumping unit, plus a separate mud processing and separation unit support equipment arranged linearly in line may be possible. Somewhat smaller work areas may be possible depending on drill rig specifics and availability of nearby areas for support equipment and support operations. Often need to coordinate final work areas with selected contractor's specific operations. Smaller work areas tend to reduce access and efficiency of operations.

\*\* For HDD conduit bundle assembly and pullback, need a corridor equal to at least 1/3 to 1/2 of the length of the total bundle length and minimum 20 feet wide, typically at the exit end. Best if corridor equals the full length of the total bundle length plus about 50 ft

FIGURE 1c



## Appendix B

### Locus Map




## Appendix C

Geotechnical Data Report for CHPE Segment 3 – Package 1C HDDs

DATE: September 23, 2022

TO: Antonio Marruso, P.E.; CHA Consulting, Inc.

FROM: Matthew Hawley, P.E.; Kiewit Engineering (NY) Corp.   
Jaren Knighton; Kiewit Engineering (NY) Corp.

SUBJECT: Geotechnical Data: Segment 3 – Package 1C - HDD Crossing 3 – Revision 1  
Champlain Hudson Power Express Project  
Whitehall, New York

---

Kiewit Engineering is providing the attached geotechnical data for use in the horizontal direction drill (HDD) design for the Champlain Hudson Power Express project in Upstate New York. This HDD crossing is located south of Whitehall, New York. The approximate station for the start of HDD crossing number 3 is STA 15084+00 (43.5352° N, 73.4072° W).

The geotechnical data at this HDD crossing is attached. The available data is from the previous investigation by TRC and the recent investigation by Schnabel, referenced below.

- TRC, Geotechnical Data Report, Champlain Hudson Power Express, Canadian Pacific Railway Borings MP 113.1-177.1, dated March 29, 2013.
- Schnabel Engineering, Geotechnical Data Report, Champlain Hudson Power Express, Canadian Pacific Railway Subsurface Explorations, dated March 3, 2022.

Contact us if you have questions or require additional information.

HDD 3  
Borings B113.4-1, K-113.5  
Segment 3 - Package 1C

## CHPE Segments 1, 2, and 3 - Packages 1A, 1B, and 1C

### HDD Soil Boring Coordinates and Elevations

Firm	Boring	Northing (feet)	Easting (feet)	Ground Surface Elevation (feet)
S.W. Cole*	B102.4-1	1762367.3	777951.9	139.42
	B109.7-1	1729097.5	774410.6	116.4
	B109.8-1	1728870.4	774869.2	99.8
	B110.1-1	1728142.0	776337.8	105.0
TRC*	B113.4-1	1714875.2	781894.9	122.9
	B114.4-1	1709558.6	780857.2	122.7
	B115.2-1	1705777.9	780186.6	120.9
	B116.1-1	1701426.5	778616.5	124.1
	B116.2-1	1700894.8	778375.8	124.3
	B116.8-1	1697938.4	777308.2	131.6
AECOM**	PD-7	1783019.4	778020.6	266.4
	PD-7A	1782960.9	778149.9	269.5
	WFE-1	1693492.9	776280.6	127.9
	WFE-1C	1693895.8	776092.3	129.3

**Notes:**

- Northings and Eastings are provided in NAD83 New York State Plane East Zone.

- Elevations are referenced to the NAVD88 datum.

\* TRC and S.W. Cole boring coordinates as shown in Table 1-6 in AECOM report (reference below).  
Boring elevations estimated from November 2021 topographic survey by Williams Aerial.


\*\* AECOM boring coordinates and elevations as shown in Table 1-6 in AECOM report.

\*\*\* Kiewit boring coordinates and elevations are noted on the boring logs.

**Reference:**

AECOM, Geotechnical Data Report, Upland Segments: Putnam Station, Washington County, to Cementon, Green County, NY, Champlain Hudson Power Express, dated May 28, 2021.





## LEGEND

- 2021 Boring Location
- Previous (2013) Boring Location


- Terrestrial Route HVDC
- Submarine Route HVDC
- Terrestrial Route HYAC
- Preliminary HDD Locations
- Preliminary Pipe Bridge Location

- Town Boundary

- County Boundary

## Surficial Geology

- al - Recent alluvium
- h2o - Water
- k - Kame deposits
- ld - Lacustrine delta
- ls - Lacustrine sand
- lsc - Lacustrine silt and clay
- og - Outwash sand and gravel
- pm - Swamp deposits
- r - Bedrock
- t - Till



Champlain Hudson Power Express Project  
Champlain Hudson Power Express Inc.


Surficial Geology and  
Geotechnical Borings  
Whitehall to Fort Edward  
Figure 3-3

Prepared on 5/5/2021  
by: AECOM









**LEGEND**


- 111.8 Certified Milepost - Tenths
- 111.8 Certified Milepost
- 111.8 Preferred Alternative Milepost - Tenths
- 135 Preferred Alternative Milepost
- Terrestrial Route HVDC
- Submarine Route HVDC
- Terrestrial Route HVAC
- Preliminary HDD Locations
- Preliminary Pipe Bridge Location
- 2021 Boring Location
- Previous (2013) Boring Location
- Streams/Ditches
- Railroad ROW
- Deviation Zone
- Deviation Zone Outside ROW
- Preferred Alternative Deviation Zone
- Preferred Alternative Deviation Zone Outside ROW
- Town Boundary
- Village Boundary
- State Park (OPRHP)

Parcel Ownership

**TOWN NAME**

Road Name

Village Name

  
Transmission  
Developers Inc.

**Champlain Hudson Power Express Project**  
*Champlain Hudson Power Express Inc.*

---

**BORING LOCATION PLAN**  
**Whitehall to Fort Edward**  
**Figure A-3**  
Sheet 1 of 16

---

Prepared by: **AECOM** 5/19/2021





# TEST BORING LOG

**PROJECT:** TDI CHAMPLAIN HUDSON POWER EXPRESS

**LOCATION:** CP RAILROAD ROW, NY

**BORING** B113.4-1

G.S. ELEV. N/A

FILE 195651

SHEET 1 OF 1

## GROUNDWATER DATA

FIRST ENCOUNTERED DRY

DEPTH	HOUR	DATE	ELAPSED TIME

## METHOD OF ADVANCING BOREHOLE

a	FROM	0.0'	TO	10.0'
d	FROM	10.0'	TO	30.0'

DRILLER P. PLANTIER

HELPER M. NAGEY

INSPECTOR C. POPPE

DATE STARTED 12/11/2012

DATE COMPLETED 12/11/2012

DEPTH	A	B	C	DESCRIPTION	Wn	REMARKS
				<b>BLACK M/C SAND, SM SILT, SM F/C GRAVEL SIZED ROCK FRAGMENTS, SM ASH AND CINDERS (FILL)</b>		
	S-1	7 6 5 7	2.0			
				<b>BROWN F/ GRAVEL AND M/C/F SAND, TR SILT (FILL)</b>	3.4	
	S-2	10 6 4 3	4.0			
5					27.3	
	S-3	5 4 3 5				
	S-4	1 1 1 1		<b>GRAY CLAY, SM F/M/C SAND, SM SILT</b>	24.8	
10	S-5	6 5 5 4	13.5			
15	S-6	4 7 7			48.2	
20	S-7	2 3 2		<b>BROWN CLAY, TR SILT</b>	50.3	
25	S-8	1 3 4				
30	S-9	2 3 2	30.0	<b>END OF BORING AT 30'</b>		
35						
					DRN. CMP	
					CKD. PWK	

NEW PROJECTS TEST BORING LOG 195651\_TDI\_CP.GPJ SITE BLAUVELT.GDT 3/27/13




## SUMMARY OF LABORATORY TEST DATA

Project Name: TDI Champlain Hudson Power Express – CP  
 Client Name: Transmission Developers, Inc.  
 TRC Project #: 195651

SAMPLE IDENTIFICATION			Soil Group (USCS System)	GRAIN SIZE DISTRIBUTION				PLASTICITY				Specific Gravity	Moisture Content (%)	Unit Weight (pcf)	Compressive Strength (tsf)	Organic Content (%)
Boring #	Sample #	Depth (ft)		Gravel (%)	Sand (%)	Silt (%)	Clay (%)	Liquid Limit (%)	Plastic Limit (%)	Plasticity Index (%)	Liquidity Index					
B113.1-1	S-3	4.0-6.0	SW-SM	21.2	72.1	6.7		-	-	-	-	-	14.9	-	-	-
	S-4	6.0-8.0														
	S-6	13.5-15.0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	25.7	-	-	-
	S-7	18.5-20.0	CL	-	-	-	-	34	21	13	0.5	-	27.3	-	-	-
	S-8	23.5-25.0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	33.9	-	-	5.9
	S-9	28.5-30.0	CH/OH	-	-	-	-	70	32	38	0.2	-	38.8	-	-	-
B113.4-1	S-2	2.0-4.0	SW-SM	44.8	45.0	10.2		-	-	-	-	-	3.4	-	-	-
	S-3	4.0-6.0	CL	18.9		20.5	60.6	42	20	22	0.3	2.81	27.3	-	-	-
	S-4	6.0-8.0														
	S-5	8.0-10.0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	24.8	97.4	-	-
	S-7	18.5-20.0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	48.2	73.8	-	-
	S-8	23.5-25.0	CH	-	-	-	-	68	31	37	0.5	-	50.3	-	-	-

# LIQUID AND PLASTIC LIMITS TEST REPORT



## SOIL DATA

	SOURCE	SAMPLE NO.	DEPTH	NATURAL WATER CONTENT (%)	PLASTIC LIMIT (%)	LIQUID LIMIT (%)	PLASTICITY INDEX (%)	USCS
●	B113.1-1	S-7	18.5-20.0 FT	27.3	21	34	13	CL
■	B113.1-1	S-9	28.5-30.0 FT	38.8	32	70	38	CH/OH
▲	B113.4-1	S-3 & S-4	4.0-8.0 FT	27.3	20	42	22	CL
◆	B113.4-1	S-8	23.5-25.0 FT	50.3	31	68	37	CH
▼	B114.4-1	S-9	28.5-30.0 FT	49.2	24	58	34	CH
*	B114.4-1	S-7	18.5-20.0 FT	49.7	33	68	35	CH/MH
⊕	B115.2-1	S-7	18.5-20.0 FT	45.6	31	65	34	CH
⊕	B116.8-1	S-12	43.5-45.0 FT	52.2	28	60	32	CH
⊗	B119.2-1	S-4 & S-5	7.0-11.0 FT	26.7	23	43	20	CL
⊗	B123.1-1	S-4	6.0-8.0 FT	33.0	29	58	29	CH


**TRC**  
**Engineers, Inc.**  
**Mt. Laurel, NJ**

**Client:** TDI CHAMPLAIN HUDSON POWER EXPRESS - CP  
**Project:** TRANSMISSION DEVELOPERS, INC.

**Project No.:** 195651

**Figure** 1

# Particle Size Distribution Report




GRAIN SIZE - mm.											
% +3"		% Gravel		% Sand			% Fines				
		Coarse	Fine	Coarse	Medium	Fine	Silt		Clay		
<input type="radio"/>	0.0		0.0	44.8	17.2	19.6	8.2	10.2			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	LL	PL	D <sub>85</sub>	D <sub>60</sub>	D <sub>50</sub>	D <sub>30</sub>	D <sub>15</sub>	D <sub>10</sub>	C <sub>c</sub>	C <sub>u</sub>	
<input type="radio"/>			13.4483	5.9692	3.6971	1.2216	0.2546				
Material Description								USCS		AASHTO	
○ GRAY-BROWN F/ GRAVEL AND M/C/F SAND, TR TO SM SILT								SW-SM			
<b>Project No.</b> 195651 <b>Client:</b> TDI CHAMPLAIN HUDSON POWER EXPRESS - CP <b>Project:</b> TRANSMISSION DEVELOPERS, INC.								<b>Remarks:</b> ○SAMPLE DESCRIPTION BASED ON VISUAL IDENTIFICATION AND LABORATORY ANALYSIS			
○ <b>Source of Sample:</b> B113.4-1 <b>Depth:</b> 2.0-4.0 FT <b>Sample Number:</b> S-2											
TRC Engineers, Inc.								Figure 5			
Mt. Laurel, NJ											

Figure 5

Tested By: BMH 01/24/13      Checked By: \_\_\_\_\_

# Particle Size Distribution Report




GRAIN SIZE - mm.									
% +3"		% Gravel		% Sand			% Fines		
		Coarse	Fine	Coarse	Medium	Fine	Silt	Clay	
<input type="radio"/>					5.0	13.7	20.5	60.6	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	LL	PL	D <sub>85</sub>	D <sub>60</sub>	D <sub>50</sub>	D <sub>30</sub>	D <sub>15</sub>	D <sub>10</sub>	C <sub>c</sub>
<input type="radio"/>	42	20	0.1065	0.0048	0.0017				
Material Description							USCS	AASHTO	
○ BROWN CLAY, SM F/M/C SAND, SM SILT							CL	A-7-6(18)	
<b>Project No.</b> 195651 <b>Client:</b> TDI CHAMPLAIN HUDSON POWER EXPRESS - CP <b>Project:</b> TRANSMISSION DEVELOPERS, INC.							<b>Remarks:</b> ○SAMPLE DESCRIPTION BASED ON VISUAL IDENTIFICATION AND LABORATORY ANALYSIS		
○ <b>Source of Sample:</b> B113.4-1 <b>Depth:</b> 4.0-8.0 FT <b>Sample Number:</b> S-3 & S-4									
<b>TRC Engineers, Inc.</b>  <b>Mt. Laurel, NJ</b>									

Figure 6

Tested By: TBT 02/12/13      Checked By: \_\_\_\_\_





NOTES:  
1. RAIL LINE, MILEPOST, AND RAILROAD CROSSING LOCATIONS ARE FROM FRA GIS DATABASE AND REFERENCE NAVD 88 (<https://fragis.fra.dot.gov/GISFRASafety/>)  
2. MILEPOST LOCATIONS ARE APPROXIMATE.

Legend

Railroad Milepost

Boring Location

Railroad Crossings

Roadway

NYS\_Drainage\_Streams

Rail Line

Source: Sources: Esri, HERE, Garmin, USGS, Intermap, INCREMENT P, NRCan, Esri Japan, METI, Esri China (Hong Kong), Esri Korea, Esri (Thailand), NGCC, (c) OpenStreetMap contributors, and the GIS User Community

01,5003,000

Feet

Scale: 1:18,000


CHAMPLAIN HUDSON POWER EXPRESS  
WHITEHALL, NEW YORK



PROJECT NO. 21C25020.03

RAIL EXPLORATION  
BORING LOCATION  
PLAN

FIGURE 3.1





		Water Level Observations				
		Date	Time	Depth	Casing	Caved
Encountered		12/23/21	9:55 AM	15.0'	15.0'	---
Completion		12/23/21	11:55 AM	32.0'	50.0'	---

DEPTH (ft)	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	SYMBOL	ELEV (ft)	STRA TUM	SAMPLING		TESTS	REMARKS		
					DEPTH	DATA				
0.2	0.0 - 0.2 ft: FILL, sampled as ballast	FILL	122.3	F	5	S-1, SPT 12+8+9+14 REC=10", 42%	MC = 21.1% % Passing #200 = 50.1 PP = 0.00 tsf  LL = 65 PL = 21 PP = 0.50 tsf			
	0.2 - 6.0 ft: FILL, sampled as silty sand with gravel; moist, black and brown	FILL				S-2, SPT 16+13+6+5 REC=11", 46%				
						S-3, SPT 3+4+4+6 REC=0", 0%				
6.0	6.0 - 8.0 ft: SANDY FAT CLAY; moist, gray, probable LACUSTRINE material	CH	116.5			S-4, SPT 8+4+5+5 REC=3", 13%				
8.0	8.0 - 52.0 ft: FAT CLAY; moist, gray, probable LACUSTRINE material	CH	114.5	L	10	S-5, SPT 1+3+4+5 REC=16", 67%				
	15.0 ft: Change: wet, gray with mottles of brown				15	S-6, SPT 3+5+9+12 REC=22", 92%			PP = 2.75 tsf	
	20.0 ft: Change: brown				20	S-7, SPT 4+3+5+6 REC=24", 100%	PP = 2.50 tsf			

(continued)

TEST BORING LOG KIEWIT-CHPE-RAIL-EXPLORATIONS.GPJ SCHNABEL DATA TEMPLATE 2008\_07\_06.GDT 3/3/22



**TEST  
BORING  
LOG****Project:** Champlain Hudson Power Express  
Rail Explorations  
Whitehall, New York**Boring Number:** K113.5  
**Contract Number:** 21C25020.03  
**Sheet:** 2 of 2

DEPTH (ft)	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	SYMBOL	ELEV (ft)	STRA TUM	SAMPLING		TESTS	REMARKS
					DEPTH	DATA		
8.0 - 52.0 ft: FAT CLAY; moist, gray, probable LACUSTRINE material (continued) 25.0 ft: Change: gray						UD-1, UNDIST REC=24", 100%	LL = 64 PL = 25 MC = 43.7% % Passing #200 = 99.8 PP = 0.00 tsf PP = 0.75 tsf	
						S-8, SPT 3+5+4+6 REC=24", 100%		
					30	S-9, SPT WOR/12"+4+5 REC=24", 100%	PP = 0.00 tsf	
					35	S-10, SPT WOR+WOH+1+3 REC=24", 100%	PP = 0.00 tsf	
					40	UD-2, UNDIST REC=17", 71%	PP = 0.00 tsf	
						S-11, SPT 3+1+1+3 REC=24", 100%	MC = 71.1% PP = 0.00 tsf	
					45	S-12, SPT WOR/24" REC=24", 100%	PP = 0.00 tsf	
					50	S-13, SPT WOR/24" REC=24", 100%	PP = 0.00 tsf	
52.0			70.5					

Bottom of Boring at 52.0 ft.  
Boring terminated at selected depth.  
Boring backfilled with cement grout through tremie pipe upon completion.  
Unable to obtain a "Casing Pulled" groundwater reading due to grout.  
Coordinates and elevations were provided by Kiewit Engineering (NY) Corp. on Jan. 18, 2022.  
Stratum Designations:  
F: Fill Material  
L: Lacustrine Deposits

# Summary Of Laboratory Tests


Appendix  
Sheet 1 of 3  
Project Number: 21C25020.03

Boring No.	Sample Depth ft Elevation ft	Sample Type	Description of Soil Specimen	Stratum	Natural Moisture (%)	Liquid Limit	Plastic Limit	Plasticity Index	% Passing No. 200 Sieve	Organic Content (%)	pH	Sulfates (mg/Kg)	Chlorides (mg/Kg)	Resistivity (ohm-cm)	Oxidation Reduction Potential (mV)
K113.5	6.0 - 8.0	Jar	Sandy Fat Clay (visual field description)	L	21.1	--	--	--	50.1	--	--	--	--	--	--
	116.5 - 114.5														
K113.5	8.0 - 10.0	Jar	Fat Clay (visual field description)	L	--	65	21	44	--	1.84	--	--	--	--	--
	114.5 - 112.5														
K113.5	25.0 - 27.0	Tube	FAT CLAY (CH), gray	L	43.7	64	25	39	99.8	--	--	--	--	--	--
	97.5 - 95.5														
K113.5	42.0 - 44.0	Jar	Fat Clay (visual field description)	L	71.1	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
	80.5 - 78.5														
K114.6	5.0 - 7.0	Jar	Silty Sand with Gravel (visual field description)	F	13.1	--	--	--	--	--	6.48	140	6.2 BRL	3010	590
	116.8 - 114.8														
K114.6	15.0 - 17.0	Jar	Fat Clay (visual field description)	L	44.7	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
	106.8 - 104.8														
K114.6	35.0 - 37.0	Tube	FAT CLAY (CH), gray	L	55.7	56	25	31	99.4	--	--	--	--	--	--
	86.8 - 84.8														

- Notes:
1. Soil tests in general accordance with ASTM standards.
  2. Soil classifications are in general accordance with ASTM D2487(as applicable), based on testing indicated and visual classification.
  3. Key to abbreviations: NP=Non-Plastic; -- indicates no test performed; BRL = below reporting limit
  4. Strata: F=Fill; L=Lacustrine



**Project:** Champlain Hudson Power Express  
Rail Explorations  
Whitehall, NY



**PLOTTED DATA REPRESENTS SOIL PASSING NO. 40 SIEVE**

	Specimen	LL	PL	PI	Fines	Description
●	K113.5 8.0 ft	65	21	44		Fat Clay (visual field description)
⊠	K113.5 25.0 ft	64	25	39	100	FAT CLAY (CH), gray
▲	K114.6 35.0 ft	56	25	31	99	FAT CLAY (CH), gray
★	K114.6 62.0 ft	59	22	37	100	FAT CLAY (CH), dark brownish gray
⊙	K116.1 5.0 ft	43	20	23		Lean Clay (visual field description)
⊕	K116.1 42.0 ft	71	25	46	100	FAT CLAY (CH), gray
○	K116.9 40.0 ft	56	23	33	100	FAT CLAY (CH), gray
△	K116.9 50.0 ft	62	23	39	95	FAT CLAY (CH), gray




**Schnabel**  
ENGINEERING

**ATTERBERG LIMITS**

**Project:** Champlain Hudson Power Express  
Rail Explorations  
Whitehall, NY  
**Contract:** 21C25020.03

DATE: September 23, 2022

TO: Antonio Marruso, P.E.; CHA Consulting, Inc.

FROM: Matthew Hawley, P.E.; Kiewit Engineering (NY) Corp.   
Jaren Knighton; Kiewit Engineering (NY) Corp.

SUBJECT: Geotechnical Data: Segment 3 – Package 1C - HDD Crossing 4 – Revision 1  
Champlain Hudson Power Express Project  
Whitehall, New York

---

Kiewit Engineering is providing the attached geotechnical data for use in the horizontal direction drill (HDD) design for the Champlain Hudson Power Express project in Upstate New York. This HDD crossing is located south of Whitehall, New York. The approximate station for the start of HDD crossing number 4 is STA 15139+00 (43.5206° N, 73.4114° W).

The geotechnical data at this HDD crossing is attached. The available data is from the previous investigation by TRC and the recent investigation by Schnabel, referenced below.

- TRC, Geotechnical Data Report, Champlain Hudson Power Express, Canadian Pacific Railway Borings MP 113.1-177.1, dated March 29, 2013.
- Schnabel Engineering, Geotechnical Data Report, Champlain Hudson Power Express, Canadian Pacific Railway Subsurface Explorations, dated March 3, 2022.

Contact us if you have questions or require additional information.

HDD 4  
Borings B114.4-1, K-114.6  
Segment 3 - Package 1C

## CHPE Segments 1, 2, and 3 - Packages 1A, 1B, and 1C

### HDD Soil Boring Coordinates and Elevations

Firm	Boring	Northing (feet)	Easting (feet)	Ground Surface Elevation (feet)
S.W. Cole*	B102.4-1	1762367.3	777951.9	139.42
	B109.7-1	1729097.5	774410.6	116.4
	B109.8-1	1728870.4	774869.2	99.8
	B110.1-1	1728142.0	776337.8	105.0
TRC*	B113.4-1	1714875.2	781894.9	122.9
	B114.4-1	1709558.6	780857.2	122.7
	B115.2-1	1705777.9	780186.6	120.9
	B116.1-1	1701426.5	778616.5	124.1
	B116.2-1	1700894.8	778375.8	124.3
	B116.8-1	1697938.4	777308.2	131.6
AECOM**	PD-7	1783019.4	778020.6	266.4
	PD-7A	1782960.9	778149.9	269.5
	WFE-1	1693492.9	776280.6	127.9
	WFE-1C	1693895.8	776092.3	129.3

**Notes:**

- Northings and Eastings are provided in NAD83 New York State Plane East Zone.

- Elevations are referenced to the NAVD88 datum.

\* TRC and S.W. Cole boring coordinates as shown in Table 1-6 in AECOM report (reference below).  
Boring elevations estimated from November 2021 topographic survey by Williams Aerial.


\*\* AECOM boring coordinates and elevations as shown in Table 1-6 in AECOM report.

\*\*\* Kiewit boring coordinates and elevations are noted on the boring logs.

**Reference:**


AECOM, Geotechnical Data Report, Upland Segments: Putnam Station, Washington County, to Cementon, Green County, NY, Champlain Hudson Power Express, dated May 28, 2021.





**LEGEND**

- 111.8 Certified Milepost - Tenths
- 111.8 Certified Milepost
- 111.8 Preferred Alternative Milepost - Tenths
- 135 Preferred Alternative Milepost
- Terrestrial Route HVDC
- Submarine Route HVDC
- Terrestrial Route HVAC
- Preliminary HDD Locations
- Preliminary Pipe Bridge Location
- 2021 Boring Location
- Previous (2013) Boring Location
- Streams/Ditches
- Railroad ROW
- Deviation Zone
- Deviation Zone Outside ROW
- Preferred Alternative Deviation Zone
- Preferred Alternative Deviation Zone Outside ROW
- Town Boundary
- Village Boundary
- State Park (OPRPH)
- Parcel Ownership
- Road Name
- TOWN NAME
- Village Name

  
Transmission  
Developers Inc.

**Champlain Hudson Power Express Project**  
*Champlain Hudson Power Express Inc.*


-----

**BORING LOCATION PLAN**  
**Whitehall to Fort Edward**  
**Figure A-3**  
Sheet 2 of 16

-----

Prepared by: **AECOM** 5/19/2021






## LEGEND

- 2021 Boring Location
- Previous (2013) Boring Location
- Terrestrial Route HVDC
- Submarine Route HVDC
- Terrestrial Route HYAC
- Preliminary HDD Locations
- Preliminary Pipe Bridge location
- Town Boundary
- County Boundary

## Surficial Geology

- al - Recent alluvium
- h2o - Water
- k - Kame deposits
- ld - Lacustrine delta
- ls - Lacustrine sand
- lsc - Lacustrine silt and clay
- og - Outwash sand and gravel
- pm - Swamp deposits
- r - Bedrock
- t - Till



Champlain Hudson Power Express Project  
Champlain Hudson Power Express Inc.

Surficial Geology and  
Geotechnical Borings  
Whitehall to Fort Edward  
Figure 3-3

Prepared on 5/5/2021  
by: AECOM







# TEST BORING LOG

**PROJECT:** TDI CHAMPLAIN HUDSON POWER EXPRESS

**LOCATION:** CP RAILROAD ROW, NY

**BORING** B114.4-1

G.S. ELEV. N/A

FILE 195651

SHEET 1 OF 1

## GROUNDWATER DATA

FIRST ENCOUNTERED DRY

DEPTH HOUR DATE ELAPSED TIME


## METHOD OF ADVANCING BOREHOLE

a FROM 0.0' TO 10.0'

d FROM 10.0' TO 30.0'


DRILLER R. CARUSO

HELPER C. SMART

INSPECTOR C. POPPE

DATE STARTED 12/12/2012

DATE COMPLETED 12/12/2012

DEPTH	A	B	C	DESCRIPTION	Wn	REMARKS
5	S-1	7 13 9 7		BLACK C/M/F SAND, SM F/ GRAVEL SIZED ROCK FRAGMENTS, SM ASH AND CINDERS, TR SILT (FILL)	15.2	
	S-2	4 3 3 3				
	S-3	2 1 2 2				
	S-4	2 2 2 2		GRAY CLAYEY SILT, SM F/M/C SAND	41.1	
10	S-5	2 3 5 8			37.8	
15	S-6	4 5 7		BROWN CLAY, SM SILT	45.3	
20	S-7	4 5 6			49.7	
25	S-8	2 3 3		GRAY CLAY, SM SILT	51.1	
30	S-9	3 4 2		END OF BORING AT 30'	49.2	
35						

NEW PROJECTS TEST BORING LOG 195651\_TDI\_CP.GPJ SITE BLAUVELT.GDT 3/27/13

DRN. CMP  
CKD. PWK




## SUMMARY OF LABORATORY TEST DATA

Project Name: TDI Champlain Hudson Power Express – CP  
 Client Name: Transmission Developers, Inc.  
 TRC Project #: 195651

SAMPLE IDENTIFICATION			Soil Group (USCS System)	GRAIN SIZE DISTRIBUTION				PLASTICITY				Specific Gravity	Moisture Content (%)	Unit Weight (pcf)	Compressive Strength (tsf)	Organic Content (%)
Boring #	Sample #	Depth (ft)		Gravel (%)	Sand (%)	Silt (%)	Clay (%)	Liquid Limit (%)	Plastic Limit (%)	Plasticity Index (%)	Liquidity Index					
B114.4-1	S-2	2.0-4.0	SW-SM	25.4	66.9	7.7		-	-	-	-	-	15.2	-	-	-
	S-3	4.0-6.0						-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	S-4	6.0-8.0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	41.1	-	-	-
	S-5	8.0-10.0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	37.8	84.5	-	-
	S-6	13.5-15.0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	45.3	-	-	-
	S-7	18.5-20.0	CH/MH	-	-	-	-	68	33	35	0.5	-	49.7	-	-	-
	S-8	23.5-25.0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	51.1	72.2	-	-
	S-9	28.5-30.0	CH	-	-	-	-	58	24	34	0.7	-	49.2	-	-	-
B114.7-1	S-4	6.0-8.0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	55.7	-	-	-
	S-6	13.5-15.0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	45.7	78.0	-	-
	S-7	18.5-20.0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	49.0	-	-	-
	S-8	23.5-25.0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	53.7	-	-	-
B115.2-1	S-2	2.0-4.0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	26.9	-	-	-

# LIQUID AND PLASTIC LIMITS TEST REPORT



## SOIL DATA

	SOURCE	SAMPLE NO.	DEPTH	NATURAL WATER CONTENT (%)	PLASTIC LIMIT (%)	LIQUID LIMIT (%)	PLASTICITY INDEX (%)	USCS
●	B113.1-1	S-7	18.5-20.0 FT	27.3	21	34	13	CL
■	B113.1-1	S-9	28.5-30.0 FT	38.8	32	70	38	CH/OH
▲	B113.4-1	S-3 & S-4	4.0-8.0 FT	27.3	20	42	22	CL
◆	B113.4-1	S-8	23.5-25.0 FT	50.3	31	68	37	CH
▼	B114.4-1	S-9	28.5-30.0 FT	49.2	24	58	34	CH
*	B114.4-1	S-7	18.5-20.0 FT	49.7	33	68	35	CH/MH
⊕	B115.2-1	S-7	18.5-20.0 FT	45.6	31	65	34	CH
⊕	B116.8-1	S-12	43.5-45.0 FT	52.2	28	60	32	CH
⊗	B119.2-1	S-4 & S-5	7.0-11.0 FT	26.7	23	43	20	CL
⊗	B123.1-1	S-4	6.0-8.0 FT	33.0	29	58	29	CH


**TRC**  
**Engineers, Inc.**  
**Mt. Laurel, NJ**

**Client:** TDI CHAMPLAIN HUDSON POWER EXPRESS - CP  
**Project:** TRANSMISSION DEVELOPERS, INC.

**Project No.:** 195651

**Figure** 1

# Particle Size Distribution Report




GRAIN SIZE - mm.										
% +3"		% Gravel		% Sand			% Fines			
		Coarse	Fine	Coarse	Medium	Fine	Silt	Clay		
<input type="radio"/>	0.0	0.0	25.4	29.5	26.2	11.2	7.7			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	LL	PL	D <sub>85</sub>	D <sub>60</sub>	D <sub>50</sub>	D <sub>30</sub>	D <sub>15</sub>	D <sub>10</sub>	C <sub>c</sub>	C <sub>u</sub>
<input type="radio"/>			6.1888	3.2153	2.3713	1.0094	0.2653	0.1178	2.69	27.29
<b>Material Description</b>								<b>USCS</b>	<b>AASHTO</b>	
<input type="radio"/> GRAY TO BLACK C/M/F SAND, SM F/ GRAVEL, TR SILT								SW-SM		
<b>Project No.</b> 195651 <b>Client:</b> TDI CHAMPLAIN HUDSON POWER EXPRESS - CP <b>Project:</b> TRANSMISSION DEVELOPERS, INC.  <input type="radio"/> <b>Source of Sample:</b> B114.4-1 <b>Depth:</b> 2.0-6.0 FT <b>Sample Number:</b> S-2 & S-3								<b>Remarks:</b> <input type="radio"/> SAMPLE DESCRIPTION BASED ON VISUAL IDENTIFICATION AND LABORATORY ANALYSIS		
<b>TRC Engineers, Inc.</b>  <b>Mt. Laurel, NJ</b>										

Figure 7

Tested By: BMH 01/24/13      Checked By: \_\_\_\_\_





**Legend**

- Railroad Milepost
- Boring Location
- Railroad Crossings
- Roadway
- NYS\_Drainage\_Streams
- Rail Line

Source: Sources: Esri, HERE, Garmin, USGS, Intermap, INCREMENT P, NRCan, Esri Japan, METI, Esri China (Hong Kong), Esri Korea, Esri (Thailand), NGCC, (c) OpenStreetMap contributors, and the GIS User Community

0 1,500 3,000 Feet

Scale: 1:18,000

	CHAMPLAIN HUDSON POWER EXPRESS WHITEHALL, NEW YORK	RAIL EXPLORATION BORING LOCATION PLAN
	PROJECT NO. 21C25020.03	FIGURE 3.1



**TEST  
BORING  
LOG****Project:** Champlain Hudson Power Express  
Rail Explorations  
Whitehall, New York**Boring Number:** **K114.6**  
**Contract Number:** 21C25020.03  
**Sheet:** 1 of 3

**Contractor:** Soil Testing Inc.  
Oxford, Connecticut

**Contractor Foreman:** S. DeAngelis

**Schnabel Representative:** S. Henry

**Equipment:** Diedrich D-50 (ATC)

**Method:** 4-1/4" I.D. Hollow Stem Auger / 3" Fluid Rotary

**Hammer Type:** Safety Hammer (140 lb)

**Dates Started:** 12/20/21 **Finished:** 12/22/21

**X:** 780759.1 ft **Y:** 1709035 ft **By:** Land Survey





**Coordinate System:** Lat-Long (Decimal Degrees)

**Plunge:** -90 **Bearing:**

**Ground Surface Elevation:** 121.8 (ft) **Total Depth:** 72.0 ft

**Water Level Observations**

	Date	Time	Depth	Casing	Caved
Encountered	12/20/21	1:30 PM	11.5'	10.0'	---
Completion	12/22/21	1:05 PM	10.0'	45.0'	---

DEPTH (ft)	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	SYMBOL	ELEV (ft)	STRATUM	SAMPLING		TESTS	REMARKS
					DEPTH	DATA		
	0.0 - 11.7 ft: FILL, sampled as silty sand with gravel; moist, black, contains mica			F		S-1, SPT 3+4+5+4 REC=14", 58%	MC = 13.1% Resistivity = 3010 Ohms-cm Redox = 590 mv pH = 6.48	
					5	S-2, SPT 2+1+1+1 REC=7", 29%		
	10.0 ft: Change: wet, gray				10	S-3, SPT 2+4+1+2 REC=5", 21%	PP = 2.00 tsf PP = 2.00 tsf	
11.7	11.7 - 72.0 ft: FAT CLAY; wet, gray with mottles of brown, probable LACUSTRINE material					S-4, SPT 2+3+3+6 REC=24", 100%		
			110.1	L	15	S-5, SPT 1+2+4+6 REC=20", 83%	MC = 44.7% PP = 2.00 tsf	
	20.0 ft: Change: gray				20	S-6, SPT 2+2+3+4 REC=24", 100%	PP = 0.50 tsf	

(continued)

TEST BORING LOG KIEWIT-CHPE-RAIL-EXPLORATIONS.GPJ SCHNABEL DATA TEMPLATE 2008\_07\_06 GDT 3/3/22

**TEST  
BORING  
LOG****Project:** Champlain Hudson Power Express  
Rail Explorations  
Whitehall, New York**Boring Number:** K114.6**Contract Number:** 21C25020.03  
**Sheet:** 2 of 3

DEPTH (ft)	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	SYMBOL	ELEV (ft)	STRA TUM	SAMPLING		TESTS	REMARKS
					DEPTH	DATA		
	11.7 - 72.0 ft: FAT CLAY; wet, gray with mottles of brown, probable LACUSTRINE material (continued)	CH				S-7, SPT WOR/24" REC=24", 100%	PP = 0.00 tsf	
					30	S-8, SPT WOR/24" REC=24", 100%	PP = 0.00 tsf	
					35	UD-1, UNDIST REC=24", 100%	LL = 56 PL = 25 MC = 55.7% % Passing #200 = 99.4	
						S-9, SPT WOR/24" REC=2", 8%	PP = 0.00 tsf PP = 0.00 tsf	
					40	S-10, SPT WOR/24" REC=24", 100%	PP = 0.00 tsf	
					45	S-11, SPT WOR/24" REC=9", 38%	PP = 0.00 tsf	45.0 ft: Drilling method switched to mud rotary with tricone rollerbit.
					50	S-12, SPT WOR/24" REC=24", 100%	PP = 0.00 tsf	
					55	S-13, SPT WOR/24" REC=24", 100%	MC = 60.2% PP = 0.00 tsf	

(continued)

TEST BORING LOG KIEWIT-CHPE-RAIL-EXPLORATIONS.GPJ SCHNABEL DATA TEMPLATE 2008\_07\_06.GDT 3/3/22





**TEST  
BORING  
LOG**

**Project:** Champlain Hudson Power Express  
Rail Explorations  
Whitehall, New York

**Boring Number:** **K114.6**  
**Contract Number:** 21C25020.03  
**Sheet:** 3 of 3

DEPTH (ft)	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	SYMBOL	ELEV (ft)	STRATUM	SAMPLING		TESTS	REMARKS
					DEPTH	DATA		
11.7 - 72.0 ft: FAT CLAY; wet, gray with mottles of brown, probable LACUSTRINE material ( <i>continued</i> )								
62.0 ft: Change: dark brownish gray								
		CH		L	60	UD-2, UNDIST REC=22", 92%	PP = 0.00 tsf	
						S-14, SPT WOR/18"+WOH REC=24", 100%	LL = 59 PL = 22 MC = 52.7% % Passing #200 = 99.9 PP = 0.00 tsf	
					65	S-15, SPT WOR/18"+WOH REC=24", 100%	PP = 0.00 tsf	
					70	S-16, SPT WOR+WOH/18" REC=24", 100%	PP = 0.00 tsf	
72.0			49.8					

Bottom of Boring at 72.0 ft.  
Boring terminated at selected depth.  
Boring backfilled with cement grout through tremie pipe upon completion.  
"Completion" groundwater reading likely impacted by mud rotary drilling fluids.  
Unable to obtain a "Casing Pulled" groundwater reading due to grout.  
Coordinates and elevations were provided by Kiewit Engineering (NY) Corp. on Jan. 18, 2022.  
Stratum Designations:  
F: Fill Material  
L: Lacustrine Deposits

# Summary Of Laboratory Tests

Appendix  
Sheet 1 of 3  
Project Number: 21C25020.03

Boring No.	Sample Depth ft Elevation ft	Sample Type	Description of Soil Specimen	Stratum	Natural Moisture (%)	Liquid Limit	Plastic Limit	Plasticity Index	% Passing No. 200 Sieve	Organic Content (%)	pH	Sulfates (mg/Kg)	Chlorides (mg/Kg)	Resistivity (ohm-cm)	Oxidation Reduction Potential (mV)
K113.5	6.0 - 8.0	Jar	Sandy Fat Clay (visual field description)	L	21.1	--	--	--	50.1	--	--	--	--	--	--
	116.5 - 114.5														
K113.5	8.0 - 10.0	Jar	Fat Clay (visual field description)	L	--	65	21	44	--	1.84	--	--	--	--	--
	114.5 - 112.5														
K113.5	25.0 - 27.0	Tube	FAT CLAY (CH), gray	L	43.7	64	25	39	99.8	--	--	--	--	--	--
	97.5 - 95.5														
K113.5	42.0 - 44.0	Jar	Fat Clay (visual field description)	L	71.1	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
	80.5 - 78.5														
K114.6	5.0 - 7.0	Jar	Silty Sand with Gravel (visual field description)	F	13.1	--	--	--	--	--	6.48	140	6.2 BRL	3010	590
	116.8 - 114.8														
K114.6	15.0 - 17.0	Jar	Fat Clay (visual field description)	L	44.7	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
	106.8 - 104.8														
K114.6	35.0 - 37.0	Tube	FAT CLAY (CH), gray	L	55.7	56	25	31	99.4	--	--	--	--	--	--
	86.8 - 84.8														

- Notes:
1. Soil tests in general accordance with ASTM standards.
  2. Soil classifications are in general accordance with ASTM D2487(as applicable), based on testing indicated and visual classification.
  3. Key to abbreviations: NP=Non-Plastic; -- indicates no test performed; BRL = below reporting limit
  4. Strata: F=Fill; L=Lacustrine



**Project:** Champlain Hudson Power Express  
Rail Explorations  
Whitehall, NY

# Summary Of Laboratory Tests


Appendix  
Sheet 2 of 3  
Project Number: 21C25020.03

Boring No.	Sample Depth ft Elevation ft	Sample Type	Description of Soil Specimen	Stratum	Natural Moisture (%)	Liquid Limit	Plastic Limit	Plasticity Index	% Passing No. 200 Sieve	Organic Content (%)	pH	Sulfates (mg/Kg)	Chlorides (mg/Kg)	Resistivity (ohm-cm)	Oxidation Reduction Potential (mV)
K114.6	55.0 - 57.0	Jar	Fat Clay (visual field description)	L	60.2	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
	66.8 - 64.8														
K114.6	62.0 - 64.0	Jar	FAT CLAY (CH), dark brownish gray	L	52.7	59	22	37	99.9	--	--	--	--	--	--
	59.8 - 57.8														
K116.1	5.0 - 7.0	Jar	Lean Clay (visual field description)	L	--	43	20	23	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
	119.9 - 117.9														
K116.1	10.0 - 12.0	Jar	Lean Clay (visual field description)	L	30.5	--	--	--	98.1	--	--	--	--	--	--
	114.9 - 112.9														
K116.1	25.0 - 27.0	Jar	Well-graded Sand (visual field description)	L	19.0	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
	99.9 - 97.9														
K116.1	42.0 - 44.0	Jar	FAT CLAY (CH), gray	L	71.4	71	25	46	99.9	0.88	--	--	--	--	--
	82.9 - 80.9														
K116.1	57.0 - 59.0	Jar	Fat Clay (visual field description)	L	66.6	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
	67.9 - 65.9														

- Notes:
1. Soil tests in general accordance with ASTM standards.
  2. Soil classifications are in general accordance with ASTM D2487(as applicable), based on testing indicated and visual classification.
  3. Key to abbreviations: NP=Non-Plastic; -- indicates no test performed; BRL = below reporting limit
  4. Strata: F=Fill; L=Lacustrine



**Project:** Champlain Hudson Power Express  
Rail Explorations  
Whitehall, NY



**PLOTTED DATA REPRESENTS SOIL PASSING NO. 40 SIEVE**

	Specimen	LL	PL	PI	Fines	Description
●	K113.5 8.0 ft	65	21	44		Fat Clay (visual field description)
⊠	K113.5 25.0 ft	64	25	39	100	FAT CLAY (CH), gray
▲	K114.6 35.0 ft	56	25	31	99	FAT CLAY (CH), gray
★	K114.6 62.0 ft	59	22	37	100	FAT CLAY (CH), dark brownish gray
⊙	K116.1 5.0 ft	43	20	23		Lean Clay (visual field description)
⊕	K116.1 42.0 ft	71	25	46	100	FAT CLAY (CH), gray
○	K116.9 40.0 ft	56	23	33	100	FAT CLAY (CH), gray
△	K116.9 50.0 ft	62	23	39	95	FAT CLAY (CH), gray



**Schnabel**  
ENGINEERING

**ATTERBERG LIMITS**

**Project:** Champlain Hudson Power Express  
Rail Explorations  
Whitehall, NY

**Contract:** 21C25020.03



## SOIL MECHANICS LABORATORY CORROSION POTENTIAL SERIES

**Project Name:** Champlain Hudson Power Express Rail Explorations

**Location:** Whitehall, NY

**Project No:** 21C25020.03

**Sample No:** K-114.6

**Depth (ft):** 5.0-7.0

**Classification:** SILTY SAND WITH GRAVEL (SM), black

Test	Unit	Readings	AWWA Guidelines Points	NACE Guidelines Points
Resistivity	ohm-cm	3,010	0	4
pH	--	6.48	0	0
Redox	mV	590	0	0
Sulfide	ppm	BRL	2	--
Moisture	condition	Moist	1	--
Sulfate	ppm	140	--	--
Chloride	ppm	BRL	--	0
Soil Description	--	Sand	--	0
Total Points			3	4

### Notes:

1. See attached Tables for point system information and data interpretation
2. BRL = Below Reporting Limit

Set up by: MDE

Tested by: Access

Checked by: SRH

Date: 2/8/22

Date: 2/18/22

Date: 2/24/2022

**Table 1: AWWA Guidelines. AWWA C-105, 10-pt soil test evaluation for iron pipe**

Soil Characteristics	Points
<b>Resistivity (<math>\Omega</math>-cm)<sup>1</sup></b>	
< 1,500	10
$\geq 1,500$ -1,800	8
>1,800 – 2,100	5
>2,100-2,500	2
>2,500-3,000	1
> 3,000	0
<b>pH</b>	
0 – 2	5
2.01 – 4.00	3
4.01 – 6.5	0
6.51 – 7.5	0*
7.51 – 8.5	0
> 8.5	3
<b>Redox potential (mV)</b>	
> +100	0
+50 to +100	3.5
0 to +50	4
< 0	5
<b>Sulfides</b>	
Positive	3.5
Trace	2
Negative	0
<b>Moisture</b>	
Wet	2
Moist	1
Dry	0

\* If sulfides are present and a low (<100mV) or negative redox result is obtained, three points shall be given for this pH range.

<sup>1</sup> Based on water-saturated soil box. This method is designed to obtain the lowest and most accurate resistivity reading.

**NOTE:** If total cumulative points  $\geq 10$ , the tested soil has potential for corrosion, according to the AWWA Guidelines.

**Table 2: ACI 318 Guidelines-Requirements for concrete exposed to sulfate-containing solutions**

Sulfate exposure	Water soluble Sulfate (SO <sub>4</sub> ) in soil, percent by weight	Sulfate (SO <sub>4</sub> ) in water, ppm	Cement type	Maximum water-cementitious material ratio, by weight, normal weight concrete*	Minimum $f_c'$ , normal-weight and lightweight concrete, psi*
Negligible	$0.00 \leq \text{SO}_4 < 0.10$	$0 \leq \text{SO}_4 < 150$	—	—	—
Moderate <sup>1</sup>	$0.10 \leq \text{SO}_4 < 0.20$	$150 \leq \text{SO}_4 < 1500$	II, IP(MS), IS(MS), P(MS), I(PM)(MS), I(SM)(MS)	0.50	4000
Severe	$0.20 \leq \text{SO}_4 \leq 2.00$	$1500 \leq \text{SO}_4 \leq 10,000$	V	0.45	4500
Very severe	$\text{SO}_4 > 2.00$	$\text{SO}_4 > 10,000$	V plus pozzolan <sup>2</sup>	0.45	4500

\* When both Table 4.3.1 and Table 4.2.2 are considered, the lowest applicable maximum water-cementitious material ratio and highest applicable minimum  $f_c'$  shall be used.

<sup>1</sup> Seawater.

<sup>2</sup> Pozzolan that has been determined by test or service record to improve sulfate resistance when used in concrete containing Type V cement.


**Table 3: NACE Guidelines. Adapted from Table 20.1 “Assessment of Overall Soil Corrosivity to Steel”, C.P. Dillon *Corrosion Control in the Chemical Process Industries*.**

ANALYSIS TYPE	ANALYSIS RANGE	POINTS	ANALYSIS TYPE	ANALYSIS RANGE	POINTS
pH	0 – 2	5	Soil Description	Clay (Blue-Gray)	10
	2 – 4	3		Clay/Stone	5
	4 – 8.5	0		Clay	3
	> 8.5	3		Silt	2
Chloride Content	> 1000 ppm	10		Clean Sand	0
	500 – 1000 ppm	6	Soil Resistivity	---	--
	200 – 500 ppm	4		< 1,000 ohm-cm	10
	50 – 200 ppm	2		1,000 – 1,500 ohm-cm	8
	0 – 50 ppm	0		1,500 – 2,500 ohm-cm	6
Redox Potential	Negative	5		2,500 – 5,000 ohm-cm	4
	0 – 100 mV	4		5,000 – 10,000 ohm-cm	2
	> 100 mV	0		> 10,000 ohm-cm	0
	---	--		---	--

SOIL CORROSIVITY	TOTAL POINTS
Severe	15.5
Appreciable	10.0 – 15.5
Moderate	5.0 – 9.5
Mild	0 – 4.5

DATE: September 23, 2022

TO: Antonio Marruso, P.E.; CHA Consulting, Inc.

FROM: Matthew Hawley, P.E.; Kiewit Engineering (NY) Corp.   
Jaren Knighton; Kiewit Engineering (NY) Corp.

SUBJECT: Geotechnical Data: Segment 3 - Package 1C - HDD Crossing 5 – Revision 1  
Champlain Hudson Power Express Project  
Whitehall, New York

---

Kiewit Engineering is providing the enclosed geotechnical data for use in the horizontal direction drill (HDD) design for the Champlain Hudson Power Express project in Upstate New York. This HDD crossing is located south of Whitehall, New York. The approximate station for the start of HDD crossing Number 5 is STA 15175+00 (43.520129° N, 73.411283° W).

The geotechnical data at this HDD crossing is enclosed. The available data is from the previous investigation by TRC and the recent investigation by Schnabel, referenced below.

- TRC, Geotechnical Data Report, Champlain Hudson Power Express, Canadian Pacific Railway Borings MP 113.1-177.1, dated March 29, 2013.
- Atlantic Testing Laboratories, Boring K-115.2 Subsurface Investigation Log and Laboratory Testing, dated March and April 2022.

Contact us if you have questions or require additional information.



HDD 5  
Borings B115.2-1 and  
K-115.2  
Segment 3

# CHPE Segments 1, 2, and 3 - Packages 1A, 1B, and 1C

## HDD Soil Boring Coordinates and Elevations

Firm	Boring	Northing (feet)	Easting (feet)	Ground Surface Elevation (feet)
S.W. Cole*	B102.4-1	1762367.3	777951.9	139.42
	B109.7-1	1729097.5	774410.6	116.4
	B109.8-1	1728870.4	774869.2	99.8
	B110.1-1	1728142.0	776337.8	105.0
TRC*	B113.4-1	1714875.2	781894.9	122.9
	B114.4-1	1709558.6	780857.2	122.7
	B115.2-1	1705777.9	780186.6	120.9
	B116.1-1	1701426.5	778616.5	124.1
	B116.2-1	1700894.8	778375.8	124.3
	B116.8-1	1697938.4	777308.2	131.6
AECOM**	PD-7	1783019.4	778020.6	266.4
	PD-7A	1782960.9	778149.9	269.5
	WFE-1	1693492.9	776280.6	127.9
	WFE-1C	1693895.8	776092.3	129.3

### Notes:

- Northings and Eastings are provided in NAD83 New York State Plane East Zone.

- Elevations are referenced to the NAVD88 datum.

\* TRC and S.W. Cole boring coordinates as shown in Table 1-6 in AECOM report (reference below).  
Boring elevations estimated from November 2021 topographic survey by Williams Aerial.

\*\* AECOM boring coordinates and elevations as shown in Table 1-6 in AECOM report.

\*\*\* Kiewit boring coordinates and elevations are noted on the boring logs.

### Reference:



AECOM, Geotechnical Data Report, Upland Segments: Putnam Station, Washington County, to Cementon, Green County, NY, Champlain Hudson Power Express, dated May 28, 2021.











111.8

Certified Milepost - Tenths

111.8

Certified Milepost

111.8

Preferred Alternative Milepost - Tenths

135

Preferred Alternative Milepost

Terrestrial Route HVDC

Submarine Route HVDC

Terrestrial Route HVAC

Preliminary HDD Locations

Preliminary Pipe Bridge Location

2021 Boring Location

Previous (2013) Boring Location

LEGEND

Streams/Ditches

Railroad ROW

Deviation Zone

Deviation Zone Outside ROW

Preferred Alternative Deviation Zone

Preferred Alternative Deviation Zone Outside ROW

Town Boundary

Village Boundary

State Park (OPRHP)

Parcel Ownership

Road Name

Village Name

TOWN NAME

DATA SOURCES: ESRI, NETWORK MAPPING 2010, NYSDOT, OPRHP, TDI, TRC

Transmission

Developers Inc.

Champlain Hudson Power Express Project

Champlain Hudson Power Express Inc.

BORING LOCATION PLAN

Whitehall to Fort Edward

Figure A-3

Sheet 2 of 16

Prepared by:

AECOM

5/19/2021

Y:\Projects\CHPE\Route\Consensus\_Alternative\_Routes\MXD\A11.5\_Routes\_DZ\_201909\Boring\_Locations\Maps\_for\_May\_2021\_Report\Whitehall\_to\_FortEdward\_Boring\_Locations\_Mapset\_May\_2021\_Report.mxd





# TEST BORING LOG

**PROJECT:** TDI CHAMPLAIN HUDSON POWER EXPRESS

**LOCATION:** CP RAILROAD ROW, NY

**BORING** B115.2-1

G.S. ELEV. N/A

FILE 195651

SHEET 1 OF 1

## GROUNDWATER DATA

FIRST ENCOUNTERED 10.0'

DEPTH	HOUR	DATE	ELAPSED TIME

## METHOD OF ADVANCING BOREHOLE

a	FROM	0.0'	TO	10.0'
d	FROM	10.0'	TO	30.0'



DRILLER T. FARRELL

HELPER J. LANGDON

INSPECTOR J. STAPLETON

DATE STARTED 02/05/2013

DATE COMPLETED 02/05/2013

DEPTH	A	B	C	DESCRIPTION	Wn	REMARKS
	S-1	10 4 3 3		<b>ASH AND CINDERS (FILL)</b>		
	S-2	2 3 4 3			26.9	
5	S-3	3 5 4 5				
	S-4	6 7 7 8			26.4	
	S-5	7 8 7 8			37.5	
10						
	S-6	4 5 6			35.4	
15				<b>OLIVE-BROWN CLAY, SM SILT</b>		
	S-7	3 5 5			45.6	
20						
	S-8	3 3 4				
25						
	S-9	2 3 3				
30				<b>END OF BORING AT 30'</b>		
35						

NEW PROJECTS TEST BORING LOG 195651\_TDI\_CP.GPJ SITE BLAUVELT.GDT 3/27/13

DRN. JPB

CKD. PWK



## SUMMARY OF LABORATORY TEST DATA

Project Name: TDI Champlain Hudson Power Express – CP  
 Client Name: Transmission Developers, Inc.  
 TRC Project #: 195651

SAMPLE IDENTIFICATION			Soil Group (USCS System)	GRAIN SIZE DISTRIBUTION				PLASTICITY				Specific Gravity	Moisture Content (%)	Unit Weight (pcf)	Compressive Strength (tsf)	Organic Content (%)
Boring #	Sample #	Depth (ft)		Gravel (%)	Sand (%)	Silt (%)	Clay (%)	Liquid Limit (%)	Plastic Limit (%)	Plasticity Index (%)	Liquidity Index					
B114.4-1	S-2	2.0-4.0	SW-SM	25.4	66.9	7.7		-	-	-	-	-	15.2	-	-	-
	S-3	4.0-6.0						-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	S-4	6.0-8.0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	41.1	-	-	-
	S-5	8.0-10.0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	37.8	84.5	-	-
	S-6	13.5-15.0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	45.3	-	-	-
	S-7	18.5-20.0	CH/MH	-	-	-	-	68	33	35	0.5	-	49.7	-	-	-
	S-8	23.5-25.0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	51.1	72.2	-	-
	S-9	28.5-30.0	CH	-	-	-	-	58	24	34	0.7	-	49.2	-	-	-
B114.7-1	S-4	6.0-8.0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	55.7	-	-	-
	S-6	13.5-15.0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	45.7	78.0	-	-
	S-7	18.5-20.0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	49.0	-	-	-
	S-8	23.5-25.0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	53.7	-	-	-
B115.2-1	S-2	2.0-4.0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	26.9	-	-	-




## SUMMARY OF LABORATORY TEST DATA

Project Name: TDI Champlain Hudson Power Express – CP  
 Client Name: Transmission Developers, Inc.  
 TRC Project #: 195651

SAMPLE IDENTIFICATION			Soil Group (USCS System)	GRAIN SIZE DISTRIBUTION				PLASTICITY				Specific Gravity	Moisture Content (%)	Unit Weight (pcf)	Compressive Strength (tsf)	Organic Content (%)
Boring #	Sample #	Depth (ft)		Gravel (%)	Sand (%)	Silt (%)	Clay (%)	Liquid Limit (%)	Plastic Limit (%)	Plasticity Index (%)	Liquidity Index					
	S-4	6.0-8.0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	26.4	-	-	-
	S-5	8.0-10.0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	37.5	-	-	-
	S-6	13.5-15.0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	35.4	-	-	-
	S-7	18.5-20.0	CH	-	-	-	-	65	31	34	0.4	-	45.6	76.0	-	-
B116.1-1	S-4	6.0-8.0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	24.8	-	-	-
	S-5	8.0-10.0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	23.7	100.7	-	-
B116.2-1	S-2	2.0-4.0	SM	37.4	49.7	12.9		-	-	-	-	-	6.9	-	-	-
	S-3	4.0-6.0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	8.2	-	-	-
	S-4	6.0-8.0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	27.4	-	-	-
	S-5	8.0-10.0	-	0.0	8.4	24.7	66.9	-	-	-	-	2.78	30.4	87.5	-	-
	S-6	13.5-15.0	-	0.0	22.7	46.6	30.7	-	-	-	-	2.84	26.8	-	-	-
	S-7	18.5-20.0	SP-SM	3.4	85.8	10.8		-	-	-	-	-	20.2	-	-	-
	S-8	23.5-25.0														

# LIQUID AND PLASTIC LIMITS TEST REPORT



## SOIL DATA

	SOURCE	SAMPLE NO.	DEPTH	NATURAL WATER CONTENT (%)	PLASTIC LIMIT (%)	LIQUID LIMIT (%)	PLASTICITY INDEX (%)	USCS
●	B113.1-1	S-7	18.5-20.0 FT	27.3	21	34	13	CL
■	B113.1-1	S-9	28.5-30.0 FT	38.8	32	70	38	CH/OH
▲	B113.4-1	S-3 & S-4	4.0-8.0 FT	27.3	20	42	22	CL
◆	B113.4-1	S-8	23.5-25.0 FT	50.3	31	68	37	CH
▼	B114.4-1	S-9	28.5-30.0 FT	49.2	24	58	34	CH
*	B114.4-1	S-7	18.5-20.0 FT	49.7	33	68	35	CH/MH
⊕	B115.2-1	S-7	18.5-20.0 FT	45.6	31	65	34	CH
⊕	B116.8-1	S-12	43.5-45.0 FT	52.2	28	60	32	CH
⊗	B119.2-1	S-4 & S-5	7.0-11.0 FT	26.7	23	43	20	CL
⊗	B123.1-1	S-4	6.0-8.0 FT	33.0	29	58	29	CH

**TRC**  
**Engineers, Inc.**  
**Mt. Laurel, NJ**

**Client:** TDI CHAMPLAIN HUDSON POWER EXPRESS - CP  
**Project:** TRANSMISSION DEVELOPERS, INC.

**Project No.:** 195651

**Figure** 1





**Boring Location Plan**  
Champlain Hudson Power Express

Drawn by:  
JTK

Scale:  
Not to scale

Project No.:  
20001480

Date:  
April 2022

# ATLANTIC TESTING LABORATORIES, Limited

## Subsurface Investigation

Client: Kiewit Engineering (NY) Corp.  
 Project: Subsurface Investigation  
Champlain Hudson Power Express, Design Package 1  
Various Locations, New York

Report No.: CD10279D-01-04-22  
 Boring Location: See Boring Location Plan

Boring No.: K-115.2 Sheet 1 of 3

Start Date: 3/10/2022 Finish Date: 3/10/2022

Coordinates  
 Northing 1705642.57  
 Easting 779676.57  
 Sampler Hammer  
 Weight: 140 lbs.  
 Fall: 30 in.  
 Hammer Type: Automatic

Groundwater Observations  
 Date Time Depth Casing  
3/10/2022 PM 4.3' 10.0'

Ground Elev.: 126.4 Boring Advance By:  
HW (4") Casing/3 7/8" Wet Rotary

DEPTH	METHOD OF ADVANCE	SAMPLE NO.	DEPTH OF SAMPLE		SAMPLE TYPE	BLOWS ON SAMPLER PER 6" 2" O.D. SAMPLER	DEPTH OF CHANGE	CLASSIFICATION OF MATERIAL  f - fine m - medium c - coarse  and - 35-50% some - 20-35% little - 10-20% trace - 0-10%	Recovery (Inches)
			From	To					
1	C A S I N G	1	0.0	2.0	SS	4 5 2 1	2.0	Brown CLAY; little f SAND; trace f GRAVEL (frozen, plastic) CL	24
2		2	2.0	4.0	SS	2 3 4 5		Grey CLAY; trace f SAND; trace SILT (moist, plastic) CL	14
3									
4		3	4.0	6.0	SS	3 4 4 5		Similar Soil (moist, plastic) CL	21
5									
6		4	6.0	8.0	SS	3 4 3 3	12.0	Similar Soil (moist, plastic) CL	22
7									
8		5	8.0	10.0	SS	3 4 4 5		Greyish-Brown Similar Soil (moist, plastic) CL	24
9									
10	WET R O T A R Y							Advanced casing to 10.0 feet and began advancing 3 7/8" tri-cone roller bit wet rotary open hole within the borehole.	
11									
12									
13									
14		6	14.0	16.0	SS	2 2 3 4		Greyish-Brown CLAY; trace SILT (moist, plastic) w = 46.5% CH	24
15									
16									
17									
18									
19		7	19.0	21.0	SS	1 2 3 2		Grey Similar Soil (moist, plastic) CH	24
20									
21									
22									
23									
24		8	24.0	26.0	SS	WH 1 2 3		Similar Soil (moist, plastic) CH	24
25									

SS Split Spoon Sample  
 NX Rock Core  
 SH Undisturbed Sample (Shelby Tube)  
 Estimated Groundwater

Drillers: Brad Perry; Nate Green  
 Inspector: James LaMarco (ATL)

ATL-LOG1 NE CD10279 KIEWIT INFRASTRUCTURE CO - VARIOUS LOCATIONS (PACKAGE 1).GPJ ATL4-08.GDT 4/14/22



# ATLANTIC TESTING LABORATORIES, Limited

## Subsurface Investigation

Boring No.: K-115.2

Report No.: CD10279D-01-04-22

Sheet 2 of 3

DEPTH	METHOD OF ADVANCE	SAMPLE NO.	DEPTH OF SAMPLE		SAMPLE TYPE	BLOWS ON SAMPLER PER 6" 2" O.D. SAMPLER	DEPTH OF CHANGE	CLASSIFICATION OF MATERIAL	RECOVERY (inches)
			From	To					
26									
27									
28									
29									
30		ST-1	30.0	32.0	SS	3 4 5 6		(3" Brass Lined Split Spoon) Similar Soil (moist, plastic) w = 35.2%, LL = 51, PL = 25, PI = 26 CH	24
31									
32									
33									
34		9	34.0	36.0	SS	WH/12" 3 4		Similar Soil (moist, plastic) CH	24
35									
36									
37									
38									
39		10	39.0	41.0	SS	WH/12" 2 3		Similar Soil (moist, plastic) CH	4
40									
41									
42									
43									
44									
45		ST-2	45.0	47.0	SS	WH/12" 3 4		(3" Brass Lined Split Spoon) Similar Soil (moist, plastic) w = 47.0%, LL = 70, PL = 23, PI = 47 CH	24
46									
47									
48									
49		11	49.0	51.0	SS	WH/18" 4		Similar Soil (moist, plastic) CH	24
50									
51									
52									
53									
54		12	54.0	56.0	SS	WH/24"		Similar Soil (moist, plastic) w = 37.7% CH	24
55									
56									
57									
58		13	58.0	60.0	SS	WH/18" 2		Similar Soil (moist, plastic) CH	24
59									
60							60.0	Boring terminated at 60.0 feet.	
61								Notes:	
62									

ATL-LOG1 NE CD10279 KIEWIT INFRASTRUCTURE CO - VARIOUS LOCATIONS (PACKAGE 1).GPJ ATL4-08.GDT 4/14/22

# ATLANTIC TESTING LABORATORIES, Limited

## Subsurface Investigation

Boring No.: K-115.2

Report No.: CD10279D-01-04-22

Sheet 3 of 3

DEPTH	METHOD OF ADVANCE	SAMPLE NO.	DEPTH OF SAMPLE		SAMPLE TYPE	BLOWS ON SAMPLER PER 6" 2" O.D. SAMPLER	DEPTH OF CHANGE	CLASSIFICATION OF MATERIAL	RECOVERY (inches)
			From	To					
63								1. Borehole backfilled with cement-bentonite grout. 2. Soil classifications based on ATL Field Engineer's field classification. 3. Borehole was advanced with ATL's Geoprobe 7822DT (Rig Unit No. CDGV706) drill rig.	
64									
65									
66									
67									
68									
69									
70									
71									
72									
73									
74									
75									
76									
77									
78									
79									
80									
81									
82									
83									
84									
85									
86									
87									
88									
89									
90									
91									
92									
93									
94									
95									
96									
97									
98									
99									
100									

ATL-LOG1 NE CD10279 KIEWIT INFRASTRUCTURE CO - VARIOUS LOCATIONS (PACKAGE 1).GPJ ATL4-08.GDT 4/14/22



# ATLANTIC TESTING LABORATORIES

WBE certified company

## LABORATORY DETERMINATION OF MOISTURE CONTENT OF SOILS

ASTM D 2216

Page 1 of 1

### PROJECT INFORMATION

**Client:** Kiewit Infrastructure Co.  
**Project:** Champlain Hudson Power Express  
United Cable Installation  
Various Locations, New York

**ATL Report No.:** CD10279E-12-04-22  
**Report Date:** April 14, 2022  
**Date Received:** April 6, 2022

### TEST DATA

Boring No.	Sample No.	Depth (ft)	Moisture Content (%)
K-115.2	S-6	14-16	46.5
	ST-1	30-32	35.2
	ST-2	45-47	47.0
	S-12	54-56	37.7

### Remarks

1. Sample mass was less than the minimum mass outlined in the referenced test method.

Reviewed By:

Date: 04/14/22



# ATLANTIC TESTING LABORATORIES

*WBE certified company*

## AMOUNT OF MATERIAL IN SOILS FINER THAN THE NO. 200 SIEVE

ASTM D 1140


### PROJECT INFORMATION

**Client:** Kiewit Infrastructure Co.  
**Project:** Champlain Hudson Power Express  
United Cable Installation  
Various Locations, New York

**ATL Report No.:** CD10279E-12-04-22  
**Report Date:** April 14, 2022  
**Test Date:** April 6, 2022  
**Performed By:** H. Brownell

### TEST DATA

Boring No.	Sample No.	Depth (ft)	Method (A or B)	Soak Time (min)	Initial Dry Weight (g)	% Finer than #200
K-115.2	ST-1	30-32	A	10	81.04	98.6
K-115.2	ST-2	45-47	A	10	75.54	91.9

Reviewed By: 

Date: 04/14/22





# ATLANTIC TESTING LABORATORIES

WBE certified company

Page 1 of 2

## LIQUID LIMIT, PLASTIC LIMIT, AND PLASTICITY INDEX OF SOIL ASTM D 4318

### PROJECT INFORMATION

**Client:** Kiewit Infrastructure Co.  
**Project:** Champlain Hudson Power Express  
United Cable Installation  
Various Locations, New York

**ATL Report No.:** CD10279E-12-04-22  
**Report Date:** April 14, 2022  
**Date Received:** April 6, 2022

### TEST DATA

Boring No.	Sample No.	LL	PL	PI
K-115.2	ST-1	51	25	26
K-115.2	ST-2	70	23	47

### SAMPLE INFORMATION

Boring No.	Sample No.	Maximum Grain Size (mm)	Estimated Amount of Sample Retained on No. 40 Sieve (%)	As Received Moisture Content (%)
K-115.2	ST-1	0.074	0	35.2
K-115.2	ST-2	0.074	0	47.0

### PREPARATION INFORMATION

Boring No.	Sample No.	Preparation	Method of Removing Oversized Material
K-115.2	ST-1	Air Dry	Not Necessary
K-115.2	ST-2	Air Dry	Not Necessary

Client: Kiewit Infrastructure Co.  
Project: Champlain Hudson Power Express

ATL Report No. CD10279E-12-04-22


Date: April 14, 2022

Page 2 of 2

#### EQUIPMENT INFORMATION

Liquid Limit Procedure:	Multipoint - Method A	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Single Point - Method B	<input type="checkbox"/>
Liquid Limit Apparatus:	Manual	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Motor Driven	<input type="checkbox"/>
Liquid Limit Grooving Tool Material:	Plastic	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Metal	<input type="checkbox"/>
Liquid Limit Grooving Tool Shape:	Flat	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Curved (AASHTO Only)	<input type="checkbox"/>
Plastic Limit:	Hand Rolled	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Mechanical Rolling Device	<input type="checkbox"/>

Reviewed By:




Date:

04/14/22

DATE: September 23, 2022

TO: Antonio Marruso, P.E.; CHA Consulting, Inc.

FROM: Matthew Hawley, P.E.; Kiewit Engineering (NY) Corp.   
Jaren Knighton; Kiewit Engineering (NY) Corp.

SUBJECT: Geotechnical Data: Segment 3 - Package 1C - HDD Crossing 6 – Revision 1  
Champlain Hudson Power Express Project  
Whitehall, New York

---

Kiewit Engineering is providing the enclosed geotechnical data for use in the horizontal direction drill (HDD) design for the Champlain Hudson Power Express project in Upstate New York. This HDD crossing is located south of Whitehall, New York. The approximate station for the start of HDD crossing Number 6 is STA 15224+00 (43.498216° N, 73.420237° W).

The geotechnical data at this HDD crossing is enclosed. The available data is from the previous investigation by TRC and the recent investigation by Schnabel, referenced below.

- TRC, Geotechnical Data Report, Champlain Hudson Power Express, Canadian Pacific Railway Borings MP 113.1-177.1, dated March 29, 2013.
- Schnabel Engineering, Geotechnical Data Report, Champlain Hudson Power Express, Canadian Pacific Railway Subsurface Explorations, dated March 3, 2022.

Contact us if you have questions or require additional information.

HDD 6  
Borings B116.1-1, B116.2-1,  
K-116.1  
Segment 3



## CHPE Segments 1, 2, and 3 - Packages 1A, 1B, and 1C

### HDD Soil Boring Coordinates and Elevations

Firm	Boring	Northing (feet)	Easting (feet)	Ground Surface Elevation (feet)
S.W. Cole*	B102.4-1	1762367.3	777951.9	139.42
	B109.7-1	1729097.5	774410.6	116.4
	B109.8-1	1728870.4	774869.2	99.8
	B110.1-1	1728142.0	776337.8	105.0
TRC*	B113.4-1	1714875.2	781894.9	122.9
	B114.4-1	1709558.6	780857.2	122.7
	B115.2-1	1705777.9	780186.6	120.9
	B116.1-1	1701426.5	778616.5	124.1
	B116.2-1	1700894.8	778375.8	124.3
	B116.8-1	1697938.4	777308.2	131.6
AECOM**	PD-7	1783019.4	778020.6	266.4
	PD-7A	1782960.9	778149.9	269.5
	WFE-1	1693492.9	776280.6	127.9
	WFE-1C	1693895.8	776092.3	129.3

**Notes:**

- Northings and Eastings are provided in NAD83 New York State Plane East Zone.

- Elevations are referenced to the NAVD88 datum.

\* TRC and S.W. Cole boring coordinates as shown in Table 1-6 in AECOM report (reference below).  
Boring elevations estimated from November 2021 topographic survey by Williams Aerial.

\*\* AECOM boring coordinates and elevations as shown in Table 1-6 in AECOM report.

\*\*\* Kiewit boring coordinates and elevations are noted on the boring logs.

**Reference:**



AECOM, Geotechnical Data Report, Upland Segments: Putnam Station, Washington County, to Cementon, Green County, NY, Champlain Hudson Power Express, dated May 28, 2021.











111.8

Certified Milepost - Tenths

111.8

Certified Milepost

111.8

Preferred Alternative Milepost - Tenths

135

Preferred Alternative Milepost

Terrestrial Route HVDC

Submarine Route HVDC

Terrestrial Route HVAC

Preliminary HDD Locations

Preliminary Pipe Bridge Location

2021 Boring Location

Previous (2013) Boring Location

Streams/Ditches

Railroad ROW

Deviation Zone

Deviation Zone Outside ROW

Preferred Alternative Deviation Zone

Preferred Alternative Deviation Zone Outside ROW

Town Boundary

Village Boundary

State Park (OPRHP)

Parcel Ownership

TOWN NAME

Road Name

Village Name

Transmission

Developers Inc.

Champlain Hudson Power Express Project

Champlain Hudson Power Express Inc.

BORING LOCATION PLAN

Whitehall to Fort Edward

Figure A-3

Sheet 3 of 16

Prepared by:

**AECOM**

5/19/2021

DATA SOURCES: ESRI, NETWORK MAPPING 2010, NYSDOT, OPRHP, TDI, TRC

Y:\Projects\CHPE\Route\Consensus\_Alternative\_Routes\MXD\1.5\_Routes\_DZ\_201909\Boring\_Locations\Maps\_for\_May\_2021\_Report\Whitehall\_to\_Fort\_Edward\_Boring\_Locations\_Mapset\_May\_2021\_Report.mxd





# TEST BORING LOG

**PROJECT:** TDI CHAMPLAIN HUDSON POWER EXPRESS

**LOCATION:** CP RAILROAD ROW, NY

**BORING** B116.1-1

G.S. ELEV. N/A

FILE 195651

SHEET 1 OF 1

## GROUNDWATER DATA

FIRST ENCOUNTERED 10.0'

DEPTH	HOUR	DATE	ELAPSED TIME

## METHOD OF ADVANCING BOREHOLE

a	FROM	0.0'	TO	10.0'
d	FROM	10.0'	TO	30.0'





DRILLER G. SPIZZIRRI

HELPER E. WARD

INSPECTOR J. STAPLETON

DATE STARTED 01/23/2013

DATE COMPLETED 01/23/2013

DEPTH	A	B	C	DESCRIPTION	Wn	REMARKS
	S-1	10 4 3 3		<b>SILT &amp; CLAY WITH COBBLES, GRAVEL, ASH, METAL (FILL)</b>		
	S-2	3 2 2 3	4.0			
5	S-3	3 3 2 3		<b>LIGHT TO DARK BROWN CLAY, TR TO SM SILT</b>	24.8	
	S-4	6 5 6 4			23.7	
	S-5	7 6 4 9	13.5			
15	S-6	1 1 2		<b>DARK BROWN SILT, SM CLAY</b>		MILD TO HEAVY PETROLEUM ODOR ENCOUNTERED FROM 13.5-30 FT
20	S-7	1 2 1	23.5			
25	S-8	1 1 5		<b>OLIVE-GREEN F/M/C SAND, SM SILT</b>		
30	S-9	4 5 5	30.0	<b>END OF BORING AT 30'</b>		
35						

NEW PROJECTS TEST BORING LOG 195651\_TDI\_CP.GPJ SITE BLAUVELT.GDT 3/27/13

DRN. JPB

CKD. PWK



# TEST BORING LOG

**PROJECT:** TDI CHAMPLAIN HUDSON POWER EXPRESS

**LOCATION:** CP RAILROAD ROW, NY

**BORING** B116.2-1

G.S. ELEV. N/A

FILE 195651

SHEET 1 OF 1

## GROUNDWATER DATA

FIRST ENCOUNTERED 18.5'

DEPTH	HOUR	DATE	ELAPSED TIME

## METHOD OF ADVANCING BOREHOLE

a	FROM	0.0'	TO	10.0'
d	FROM	10.0'	TO	30.0'

DRILLER R. CARUSO

HELPER C. SMART

INSPECTOR C. POPPE

DATE STARTED 12/11/2012

DATE COMPLETED 12/11/2012

DEPTH	A	B	C	DESCRIPTION	Wn	REMARKS
5	S-1	16 10 9 6		BLACK F/C GRAVELLY M/F/C SAND, TR TO SM SILT (FILL)	6.9	
	S-2	10 9 10 17	4.0			
	S-3	20 14 11 12	6.0	BROWN SILTY F/M/C SAND, SM F/ GRAVEL (FILL)	8.2	
	S-4	7 5 5 6		GRAY CLAY, SM SILT	27.4	
10	S-5	5 6 10 11	10.0		30.4	
				GRAY CLAY, SM SILT, TR M/F SAND		
			13.5			
15	S-6	4 5 5		GRAY CLAYEY SILT, SM F/M SAND	26.8	
			18.5			
20	S-7	3 3 3			20.2	
	S-8	4 6 8				
25				GRAY F/M/C SAND, TR TO SM SILT, TR F/ GRAVEL		
30	S-9	2 1 2	30.0	END OF BORING AT 30'	18.4	
35						

NEW PROJECTS TEST BORING LOG 195651\_TDI\_CP.GPJ SITE BLAUVELT.GDT 3/27/13

DRN. CMP  
CKD. PWK



## SUMMARY OF LABORATORY TEST DATA

Project Name: TDI Champlain Hudson Power Express – CP  
 Client Name: Transmission Developers, Inc.  
 TRC Project #: 195651

SAMPLE IDENTIFICATION			Soil Group (USCS System)	GRAIN SIZE DISTRIBUTION				PLASTICITY				Specific Gravity	Moisture Content (%)	Unit Weight (pcf)	Compressive Strength (tsf)	Organic Content (%)
Boring #	Sample #	Depth (ft)		Gravel (%)	Sand (%)	Silt (%)	Clay (%)	Liquid Limit (%)	Plastic Limit (%)	Plasticity Index (%)	Liquidity Index					
	S-4	6.0-8.0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	26.4	-	-	-
	S-5	8.0-10.0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	37.5	-	-	-
	S-6	13.5-15.0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	35.4	-	-	-
	S-7	18.5-20.0	CH	-	-	-	-	65	31	34	0.4	-	45.6	76.0	-	-
B116.1-1	S-4	6.0-8.0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	24.8	-	-	-
	S-5	8.0-10.0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	23.7	100.7	-	-
B116.2-1	S-2	2.0-4.0	SM	37.4	49.7	12.9		-	-	-	-	-	6.9	-	-	-
	S-3	4.0-6.0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	8.2	-	-	-
	S-4	6.0-8.0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	27.4	-	-	-
	S-5	8.0-10.0	-	0.0	8.4	24.7	66.9	-	-	-	-	2.78	30.4	87.5	-	-
	S-6	13.5-15.0	-	0.0	22.7	46.6	30.7	-	-	-	-	2.84	26.8	-	-	-
	S-7	18.5-20.0	SP-SM	3.4	85.8	10.8		-	-	-	-	-	20.2	-	-	-
	S-8	23.5-25.0														




## SUMMARY OF LABORATORY TEST DATA

Project Name: TDI Champlain Hudson Power Express – CP  
 Client Name: Transmission Developers, Inc.  
 TRC Project #: 195651

SAMPLE IDENTIFICATION			Soil Group (USCS System)	GRAIN SIZE DISTRIBUTION				PLASTICITY				Specific Gravity	Moisture Content (%)	Unit Weight (pcf)	Compressive Strength (tsf)	Organic Content (%)
Boring #	Sample #	Depth (ft)		Gravel (%)	Sand (%)	Silt (%)	Clay (%)	Liquid Limit (%)	Plastic Limit (%)	Plasticity Index (%)	Liquidity Index					
	S-9	28.5-30.0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	18.4	-	-	-
B116.8-1	S-2	2.0-4.0	SM	27.1	59.1	13.7		-	-	-	-	-	5.0	-	-	-
	S-3	4.0-6.0														
	S-4	6.0-8.0														
	S-5	8.0-10.0														
	S-6	13.5-15.0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	48.7	-	-	-
	S-7	18.5-20.0	-	0.0	28.0	12.3	59.7	-	-	-	-	2.76	26.7	93.6	-	-
	S-9	28.5-30.0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	40.5	-	-	-
	S-10	33.5-35.0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	66.9	-	-	-
	S-11	38.5-40.0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	64.3	-	-	-
	S-12	43.5-45.0	CH	-	-	-	-	60	28	32	0.8	-	52.2	-	-	-
	S-13	48.5-50.0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	43.6	-	-	-
	S-14	53.5-55.0	-	0.0	0.3	1.2	98.5	-	-	-	-	2.83	69.1	-	-	-



# Particle Size Distribution Report




GRAIN SIZE - mm.										
% +3"		% Gravel		% Sand			% Fines			
		Coarse	Fine	Coarse	Medium	Fine	Silt		Clay	
<input type="radio"/>	0.0	21.6	15.8	13.8	21.1	14.8	12.9			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	LL	PL	D <sub>85</sub>	D <sub>60</sub>	D <sub>50</sub>	D <sub>30</sub>	D <sub>15</sub>	D <sub>10</sub>	C <sub>c</sub>	C <sub>u</sub>
<input type="radio"/>			30.1938	3.9145	2.1477	0.5234	0.0993			
Material Description								USCS	AASHTO	
<input type="radio"/> GRAY-BLACK C/F GRAVELLY M/F/C SAND, TR TO SM SILT								SM		
<b>Project No.</b> 195651 <b>Client:</b> TDI CHAMPLAIN HUDSON POWER EXPRESS - CP <b>Project:</b> TRANSMISSION DEVELOPERS, INC.  <input type="radio"/> <b>Source of Sample:</b> B116.2-1 <b>Depth:</b> 2.0-4.0 FT <b>Sample Number:</b> S-2								<b>Remarks:</b> <input type="radio"/> SAMPLE DESCRIPTION BASED ON VISUAL IDENTIFICATION AND LABORATORY ANALYSIS		
<b>TRC Engineers, Inc.</b>  <b>Mt. Laurel, NJ</b>										


Figure 8

Tested By: BMH 01/24/13      Checked By: \_\_\_\_\_

# Particle Size Distribution Report



# Particle Size Distribution Report



	% +3"		% Gravel		% Sand			% Fines		
			Coarse	Fine	Coarse	Medium	Fine	Silt		Clay
○	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	10.7	12.0	46.6		30.7
×	LL	PL	D <sub>85</sub>	D <sub>60</sub>	D <sub>50</sub>	D <sub>30</sub>	D <sub>15</sub>	D <sub>10</sub>	C <sub>c</sub>	C <sub>u</sub>
○			0.2276	0.0318	0.0224	0.0047				


Material Description							USCS	AASHTO
○ BROWN CLAYEY SILT, SM F/M SAND								

<b>Project No.</b> 195651 <b>Client:</b> TDI CHAMPLAIN HUDSON POWER EXPRESS - CP <b>Project:</b> TRANSMISSION DEVELOPERS, INC.			<b>Remarks:</b> ○SAMPLE DESCRIPTION BASED ON VISUAL IDENTIFICATION AND LABORATORY ANALYSIS
○ <b>Source of Sample:</b> B116.2-1	<b>Depth:</b> 13.5-15.0 FT	<b>Sample Number:</b> S-6	
<b>TRC Engineers, Inc.</b>			
<b>Mt. Laurel, NJ</b>			<b>Figure</b> 10

Figure 10

Tested By: TBT 02/12/13      Checked By: \_\_\_\_\_

# Particle Size Distribution Report




GRAIN SIZE - mm.									
% +3"		% Gravel		% Sand			% Fines		
		Coarse	Fine	Coarse	Medium	Fine	Silt	Clay	
<input type="radio"/>	0.0	0.0	3.4	2.4	37.2	46.2	10.8		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	LL	PL	D <sub>85</sub>	D <sub>60</sub>	D <sub>50</sub>	D <sub>30</sub>	D <sub>15</sub>	D <sub>10</sub>	C <sub>c</sub>
<input type="radio"/>			1.1665	0.4705	0.3326	0.1587	0.0886		C <sub>u</sub>

Material Description							USCS	AASHTO
<input type="radio"/> GRAY F/M/C SAND, TR TO SM SILT, TR F/ GRAVEL							SP-SM	

<b>Project No.</b> 195651 <b>Client:</b> TDI CHAMPLAIN HUDSON POWER EXPRESS - CP <b>Project:</b> TRANSMISSION DEVELOPERS, INC.			<b>Remarks:</b> ○SAMPLE DESCRIPTION BASED ON VISUAL IDENTIFICATION AND LABORATORY ANALYSIS
○ <b>Sample Source:</b> B116.2-1 <b>Depth:</b> 18.5-25.0 FT <b>Sample No.:</b> S-7 & S-8			
<b>TRC Engineers, Inc.</b>  <b>Mt. Laurel, NJ</b>			
			<b>Figure</b> 11

Tested By: BMH 02/01/13      Checked By: \_\_\_\_\_





2/28/2022 12:26:00 PM G:\2021-Jobs\Albany\21C25020\_03 Kiewit-CHPE-Rail-Explorations-A\03-SEProducts\07-GIS\03-Maps\FIG-3-1-BLP-11x17-updated.mxd

NOTES:  
1. RAIL LINE, MILEPOST, AND RAILROAD CROSSING LOCATIONS ARE FROM FRA GIS DATABASE AND REFERENCE NAVD 88 (<https://fragis.fra.dot.gov/GISFRASafety/>)  
2. MILEPOST LOCATIONS ARE APPROXIMATE.

Legend

Railroad Milepost

Boring Location

Railroad Crossings

Roadway

NYS\_Drainage\_Streams

Rail Line

Source: Sources: Esri, HERE, Garmin, USGS, Intermap, INCREMENT P, NRCan, Esri Japan, METI, Esri China (Hong Kong), Esri Korea, Esri (Thailand), NGCC, (c) OpenStreetMap contributors, and the GIS User Community

CHAMPLAIN HUDSON POWER EXPRESS  
WHITEHALL, NEW YORK

PROJECT NO. 21C25020.03

015003000  
Feet

Scale: 1:18,000

RAIL EXPLORATION  
BORING LOCATION  
PLAN

FIGURE 3.1



**TEST  
BORING  
LOG****Project:** Champlain Hudson Power Express  
Rail Explorations  
Whitehall, New York**Boring Number:** **K116.1**  
**Contract Number:** 21C25020.03  
**Sheet:** 1 of 3

**Contractor:** Soil Testing Inc.  
Oxford, Connecticut

**Contractor Foreman:** S. DeAngelis

**Schnabel Representative:** S. Henry

**Equipment:** Diedrich D-50 (ATC)

**Method:** 4-1/4" I.D. Hollow Stem Auger / 3" Fluid Rotary

**Hammer Type:** Safety Hammer (140 lb)

**Dates Started:** 1/4/22 **Finished:** 1/4/22

**X:** 778556.8 ft **Y:** 1701289 ft **By:** Land Survey

**Coordinate System:** Lat-Long (Decimal Degrees)

**Plunge:** -90 **Bearing:**

**Ground Surface Elevation:** 124.9 (ft) **Total Depth:** 65.0 ft

**Water Level Observations**

	Date	Time	Depth	Casing	Caved
Encountered	1/4/22	12:10 PM	20.0'	15.0'	---

DEPTH (ft)	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	SYMBOL	ELEV (ft)	STRATUM	SAMPLING		TESTS	REMARKS
					DEPTH	DATA		
0.0 - 1.5 ft	FILL, sampled as ballast	FILL						
1.5	1.5 - 4.3 ft: FILL, sampled as silty sand with gravel; moist, black	FILL	123.4	F		S-1, SPT 4+3+3+3 REC=10", 42%		
4.3	4.3 - 16.2 ft: LEAN CLAY; moist, gray and brown, some silt, probable LACUSTRINE material	CL	120.7		5	S-2, SPT 3+4+5+7 REC=14", 58%	LL = 43 PL = 20 PP = 2.00 tsf	
					10	S-3, SPT 3+4+7+10 REC=16", 67%	MC = 30.5% % Passing #200 = 98.1 PP = 3.25 tsf	
				L	15	S-4, SPT 2+4+4+7 REC=19", 79%	PP = 1.50 tsf	15.0 ft: Drilling method switched to mud rotary with tricone rollerbit.
16.2	16.2 - 18.5 ft: FAT CLAY; moist, gray, probable LACUSTRINE material	CH	108.7					
18.5	18.5 - 28.5 ft: WELL GRADED SAND; wet, gray, probable LACUSTRINE material	SW	106.4		20	S-5, SPT WOR+5+4+4 REC=15", 63%		

(continued)

TEST BORING LOG KIEWIT-CHPE-RAIL-EXPLORATIONS.GPJ SCHNABEL DATA TEMPLATE 2008\_07\_06.GDT 3/3/22

**TEST  
BORING  
LOG****Project:** Champlain Hudson Power Express  
Rail Explorations  
Whitehall, New York**Boring Number:** K116.1  
**Contract Number:** 21C25020.03  
**Sheet:** 2 of 3

DEPTH (ft)	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	SYMBOL	ELEV (ft)	STRA TUM	SAMPLING		TESTS	REMARKS
					DEPTH	DATA		
28.5	18.5 - 28.5 ft: WELL GRADED SAND; wet, gray, probable LACUSTRINE material (continued)	SW	96.4	L		S-6, SPT 8+8+3+4 REC=10", 42%	MC = 19.0%	
	28.5 - 65.0 ft: FAT CLAY; wet, gray, probable LACUSTRINE material	CH			30	S-7, SPT WOR/18"+WOH REC=24", 100%	PP = 0.00 tsf	
					35	S-8, SPT WOR/24" REC=24", 100%	PP = 0.00 tsf	
					40	UD-1, UNDIST REC=7", 29%	PP = 0.00 tsf	
					45	S-9, SPT WOH+1+2+3 REC=24", 100%	LL = 71 PL = 25 MC = 71.4% % Passing #200 = 99.9 PP = 0.00 tsf	
					50	UD-2, UNDIST REC=21", 88%	PP = 0.00 tsf	
					55	S-10, SPT WOR/24" REC=20", 83%	PP = 0.00 tsf	
						UD-3, UNDIST REC=23", 96%	PP = 0.00 tsf	
						S-11, SPT WOR/24" REC=24", 100%	MC = 66.6% PP = 0.00 tsf	57.0 ft: Rods sunk to 63 ft; Kiewit agreed to

(continued)

**TEST  
BORING  
LOG****Project:** Champlain Hudson Power Express  
Rail Explorations  
Whitehall, New York**Boring Number:** **K116.1****Contract Number:** 21C25020.03  
**Sheet:** 3 of 3

DEPTH (ft)	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	SYMBOL	ELEV (ft)	STRA TUM	SAMPLING		TESTS	REMARKS
					DEPTH	DATA		
65.0	28.5 - 65.0 ft: FAT CLAY; wet, gray, probable LACUSTRINE material (continued)	CH	59.9	L	60		PP = 0.00 tsf	take SPT from 63 - 65 ft instead of drilling the rest of the way.
					65	S-12, SPT 3+3+3+2 REC=24", 100%		

Bottom of Boring at 65.0 ft.

Boring terminated at selected depth.

Boring backfilled with cement grout through tremie pipe upon completion.

Unable to obtain "Completion" and "Casing Pulled" groundwater readings due to drilling fluid and grout.

Coordinates and elevations were provided by Kiewit Engineering (NY) Corp. on Jan. 18, 2022.

Stratum Designations:

F: Fill Material

L: Lacustrine Deposits



# Summary Of Laboratory Tests


Appendix  
Sheet 2 of 3  
Project Number: 21C25020.03

Boring No.	Sample Depth ft Elevation ft	Sample Type	Description of Soil Specimen	Stratum	Natural Moisture (%)	Liquid Limit	Plastic Limit	Plasticity Index	% Passing No. 200 Sieve	Organic Content (%)	pH	Sulfates (mg/Kg)	Chlorides (mg/Kg)	Resistivity (ohm-cm)	Oxidation Reduction Potential (mV)
K114.6	55.0 - 57.0	Jar	Fat Clay (visual field description)	L	60.2	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
	66.8 - 64.8														
K114.6	62.0 - 64.0	Jar	FAT CLAY (CH), dark brownish gray	L	52.7	59	22	37	99.9	--	--	--	--	--	--
	59.8 - 57.8														
K116.1	5.0 - 7.0	Jar	Lean Clay (visual field description)	L	--	43	20	23	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
	119.9 - 117.9														
K116.1	10.0 - 12.0	Jar	Lean Clay (visual field description)	L	30.5	--	--	--	98.1	--	--	--	--	--	--
	114.9 - 112.9														
K116.1	25.0 - 27.0	Jar	Well-graded Sand (visual field description)	L	19.0	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
	99.9 - 97.9														
K116.1	42.0 - 44.0	Jar	FAT CLAY (CH), gray	L	71.4	71	25	46	99.9	0.88	--	--	--	--	--
	82.9 - 80.9														
K116.1	57.0 - 59.0	Jar	Fat Clay (visual field description)	L	66.6	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
	67.9 - 65.9														

- Notes:
1. Soil tests in general accordance with ASTM standards.
  2. Soil classifications are in general accordance with ASTM D2487(as applicable), based on testing indicated and visual classification.
  3. Key to abbreviations: NP=Non-Plastic; -- indicates no test performed; BRL = below reporting limit
  4. Strata: F=Fill; L=Lacustrine



**Project:** Champlain Hudson Power Express  
Rail Explorations  
Whitehall, NY



**PLOTTED DATA REPRESENTS SOIL PASSING NO. 40 SIEVE**

ATTERBERG LIMITS KIEWIT-CHPE-RAIL-EXPLORATIONS.GPJ SCHNABEL DATA TEMPLATE 2008 04 22.GDT 2/25/22

	Specimen	LL	PL	PI	Fines	Description
●	K113.5 8.0 ft	65	21	44		Fat Clay (visual field description)
⊠	K113.5 25.0 ft	64	25	39	100	FAT CLAY (CH), gray
▲	K114.6 35.0 ft	56	25	31	99	FAT CLAY (CH), gray
★	K114.6 62.0 ft	59	22	37	100	FAT CLAY (CH), dark brownish gray
⊙	K116.1 5.0 ft	43	20	23		Lean Clay (visual field description)
⊕	K116.1 42.0 ft	71	25	46	100	FAT CLAY (CH), gray
○	K116.9 40.0 ft	56	23	33	100	FAT CLAY (CH), gray
△	K116.9 50.0 ft	62	23	39	95	FAT CLAY (CH), gray




**Schnabel**  
ENGINEERING

**ATTERBERG LIMITS**

**Project:** Champlain Hudson Power Express  
Rail Explorations  
Whitehall, NY  
**Contract:** 21C25020.03

DATE: September 23, 2022

TO: Antonio Marruso, P.E.; CHA Consulting, Inc.

FROM: Matthew Hawley, P.E.; Kiewit Engineering (NY) Corp.   
Jaren Knighton; Kiewit Engineering (NY) Corp.

SUBJECT: Geotechnical Data: Segment 3 - Package 1C - HDD Crossing 7 – Revision 1  
Champlain Hudson Power Express Project  
Whitehall, New York

---

Kiewit Engineering is providing the enclosed geotechnical data for use in the horizontal direction drill (HDD) design for the Champlain Hudson Power Express project in Upstate New York. This HDD crossing is located south of Whitehall, New York. The approximate station for the start of HDD crossing Number 7 is STA 15256+00 (43.488742° N, 73.425331° W).

The geotechnical data at this HDD crossing is enclosed. The available data is from the previous investigation by TRC and the recent investigation by Schnabel, referenced below.

- TRC, Geotechnical Data Report, Champlain Hudson Power Express, Canadian Pacific Railway Borings MP 113.1-177.1, dated March 29, 2013.
- Schnabel Engineering, Geotechnical Data Report, Champlain Hudson Power Express, Canadian Pacific Railway Subsurface Explorations, dated March 3, 2022.

Contact us if you have questions or require additional information.

HDD 7  
Borings B116.8-1, K-116.9  
Segment 3



## CHPE Segments 1, 2, and 3 - Packages 1A, 1B, and 1C

### HDD Soil Boring Coordinates and Elevations

Firm	Boring	Northing (feet)	Easting (feet)	Ground Surface Elevation (feet)
S.W. Cole*	B102.4-1	1762367.3	777951.9	139.4
	B109.7-1	1729097.5	774410.6	116.4
	B109.8-1	1728870.4	774869.2	99.8
	B110.1-1	1728142.0	776337.8	105.0
TRC*	B113.4-1	1714875.2	781894.9	122.9
	B114.4-1	1709558.6	780857.2	122.7
	B115.2-1	1705777.9	780186.6	120.9
	B116.1-1	1701426.5	778616.5	124.1
	B116.2-1	1700894.8	778375.8	124.3
	B116.8-1	1697938.4	777308.2	131.6
AECOM**	PD-7	1783019.4	778020.6	266.4
	PD-7A	1782960.9	778149.9	269.5
	WFE-1	1693492.9	776280.6	127.9
	WFE-1C	1693895.8	776092.3	129.3

**Notes:**

- Northings and Eastings are provided in NAD83 New York State Plane East Zone.

- Elevations are referenced to the NAVD88 datum.


\* TRC and S.W. Cole boring coordinates as shown in Table 1-6 in AECOM report (reference below).  
Boring elevations estimated from November 2021 topographic survey by Williams Aerial.

\*\* AECOM boring coordinates and elevations as shown in Table 1-6 in AECOM report.

\*\*\* Kiewit boring coordinates and elevations are noted on the boring logs.

**Reference:**

AECOM, Geotechnical Data Report, Upland Segments: Putnam Station, Washington County, to Cementon, Green County, NY, Champlain Hudson Power Express, dated May 28, 2021.



## LEGEND

- 2021 Boring Location
- Previous (2013) Boring Location


- Terrestrial Route HVDC
- Submarine Route HVDC
- Terrestrial Route HYAC
- Preliminary HDD Locations
- Preliminary Pipe Bridge Location

- Town Boundary

- County Boundary

## Surficial Geology

- al - Recent alluvium
- h2o - Water
- k - Kame deposits
- ld - Lacustrine delta
- ls - Lacustrine sand
- lsc - Lacustrine silt and clay
- og - Outwash sand and gravel
- pm - Swamp deposits
- r - Bedrock
- t - Till



Champlain Hudson Power Express Project  
Champlain Hudson Power Express Inc.


Surficial Geology and  
Geotechnical Borings  
Whitehall to Fort Edward  
Figure 3-3

Prepared on 5/5/2021  
by: AECOM










**LEGEND**

- 111.8 Certified Milepost - Tenths
- 111.8 Certified Milepost
- 111.8 Preferred Alternative Milepost - Tenths
- 135 Preferred Alternative Milepost
- Terrestrial Route HVDC
- Submarine Route HVDC
- Terrestrial Route HVAC
- Preliminary HDD Locations
- Preliminary Pipe Bridge Location
- 2021 Boring Location
- Previous (2013) Boring Location
- Streams/Ditches
- Railroad ROW
- Deviation Zone
- Deviation Zone Outside ROW
- Preferred Alternative Deviation Zone
- Preferred Alternative Deviation Zone Outside ROW
- Town Boundary
- Village Boundary
- State Park (OPRHP)

Parcel Ownership: **TOWN NAME**

Road Name: **Village Name**

  
Transmission  
Developers Inc.

**Champlain Hudson Power Express Project**  
*Champlain Hudson Power Express Inc.*

---

**BORING LOCATION PLAN**  
**Whitehall to Fort Edward**  
**Figure A-3**  
Sheet 4 of 16

---

Prepared by: **AECOM** 5/19/2021





# TEST BORING LOG

PROJECT: TDI CHAMPLAIN HUDSON POWER EXPRESS

LOCATION: CP RAILROAD ROW, NY

BORING **B116.8-1**

G.S. ELEV. N/A

FILE 195651

SHEET 1 OF 2

## GROUNDWATER DATA

FIRST ENCOUNTERED NR

DEPTH HOUR DATE ELAPSED TIME

49.2' NR 12/11 0

## METHOD OF ADVANCING BOREHOLE

a FROM 0.0' TO 10.0'

d FROM 10.0' TO 65.0'

DRILLER R. CARUSO

HELPER C. SMART

INSPECTOR C. POPPE

DATE STARTED 12/12/2012

DATE COMPLETED 12/12/2012

DEPTH	A	B	C	DESCRIPTION	Wn	REMARKS
	S-1	9 7 7 10		BLACK M/C SAND, SM CINDERS, TR SILT, TR F/ GRAVEL (FILL)	2.0	
5	S-2	14 17 21 18				
	S-3	14 11 9 9			5.0	
	S-4	10 8 8 8		BROWN AND BLACK M/C/F SAND, SM F/ GRAVEL, TR TO SM SILT (POSSIBLE FILL)		
10	S-5	7 4 5 4				
15	S-6	3 4 5			48.7	
				GRAY CLAYEY SILT, TR-SM F/ SAND		
20	S-7	5 6 9			26.7	
				BROWN CLAY, SM M/F SAND, TR TO SM SILT		
25	S-8	4 4 7				
30	S-9	2 2 2			40.5	
				GRAY CLAY, TR SILT		
35	S-10	WOH			66.9	

DRN. CMP

CKD. PWK



# TEST BORING LOG

**PROJECT:** TDI CHAMPLAIN HUDSON POWER EXPRESS

**LOCATION:** CP RAILROAD ROW, NY

**BORING** B116.8-1

G.S. ELEV. N/A

FILE 195651

SHEET 2 OF 2

DEPTH	A	B	C	DESCRIPTION	Wn	REMARKS
40	S-11	3 2 1			64.3	
45	S-12	WOH			52.2	
50	S-13	2 1 2		GRAY CLAY, TR SILT	43.6	
55	S-14	WOH			69.1	
60	S-15	3 2 3				
65	S-16	2 1 1		65.0 END OF BORING AT 65'		
70						
75						

NEW/PROJECTS TEST BORING LOG 195651\_TDI\_CP.GPJ SITE BLAUVELT.GDT 3/27/13




## SUMMARY OF LABORATORY TEST DATA

Project Name: TDI Champlain Hudson Power Express – CP  
 Client Name: Transmission Developers, Inc.  
 TRC Project #: 195651

SAMPLE IDENTIFICATION			Soil Group (USCS System)	GRAIN SIZE DISTRIBUTION				PLASTICITY				Specific Gravity	Moisture Content (%)	Unit Weight (pcf)	Compressive Strength (tsf)	Organic Content (%)
Boring #	Sample #	Depth (ft)		Gravel (%)	Sand (%)	Silt (%)	Clay (%)	Liquid Limit (%)	Plastic Limit (%)	Plasticity Index (%)	Liquidity Index					
	S-9	28.5-30.0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	18.4	-	-	-
B116.8-1	S-2	2.0-4.0	SM	27.1	59.1	13.7		-	-	-	-	-	5.0	-	-	-
	S-3	4.0-6.0														
	S-4	6.0-8.0														
	S-5	8.0-10.0														
	S-6	13.5-15.0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	48.7	-	-	-
	S-7	18.5-20.0	-	0.0	28.0	12.3	59.7	-	-	-	-	2.76	26.7	93.6	-	-
	S-9	28.5-30.0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	40.5	-	-	-
	S-10	33.5-35.0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	66.9	-	-	-
	S-11	38.5-40.0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	64.3	-	-	-
	S-12	43.5-45.0	CH	-	-	-	-	60	28	32	0.8	-	52.2	-	-	-
	S-13	48.5-50.0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	43.6	-	-	-
	S-14	53.5-55.0	-	0.0	0.3	1.2	98.5	-	-	-	-	2.83	69.1	-	-	-



# LIQUID AND PLASTIC LIMITS TEST REPORT



## SOIL DATA

	SOURCE	SAMPLE NO.	DEPTH	NATURAL WATER CONTENT (%)	PLASTIC LIMIT (%)	LIQUID LIMIT (%)	PLASTICITY INDEX (%)	USCS
●	B113.1-1	S-7	18.5-20.0 FT	27.3	21	34	13	CL
■	B113.1-1	S-9	28.5-30.0 FT	38.8	32	70	38	CH/OH
▲	B113.4-1	S-3 & S-4	4.0-8.0 FT	27.3	20	42	22	CL
◆	B113.4-1	S-8	23.5-25.0 FT	50.3	31	68	37	CH
▼	B114.4-1	S-9	28.5-30.0 FT	49.2	24	58	34	CH
*	B114.4-1	S-7	18.5-20.0 FT	49.7	33	68	35	CH/MH
⊕	B115.2-1	S-7	18.5-20.0 FT	45.6	31	65	34	CH
⊕	B116.8-1	S-12	43.5-45.0 FT	52.2	28	60	32	CH
⊗	B119.2-1	S-4 & S-5	7.0-11.0 FT	26.7	23	43	20	CL
⊗	B123.1-1	S-4	6.0-8.0 FT	33.0	29	58	29	CH


**TRC**  
**Engineers, Inc.**  
**Mt. Laurel, NJ**

**Client:** TDI CHAMPLAIN HUDSON POWER EXPRESS - CP  
**Project:** TRANSMISSION DEVELOPERS, INC.

**Project No.:** 195651

**Figure** 1

# Particle Size Distribution Report



GRAIN SIZE - mm.										
% +3"		% Gravel		% Sand			% Fines			
		Coarse	Fine	Coarse	Medium	Fine	Silt		Clay	
○	0.0	0.0	27.1	19.4	27.3	12.5	13.7			
×	LL	PL	D <sub>85</sub>	D <sub>60</sub>	D <sub>50</sub>	D <sub>30</sub>	D <sub>15</sub>	D <sub>10</sub>	C <sub>c</sub>	C <sub>u</sub>
○			8.2728	2.6844	1.6960	0.5646	0.0996			
Material Description								USCS		AASHTO
○ GRAY-BROWN M/C/F SAND, SM F/ GRAVEL, TR TO SM SILT								SM		
<b>Project No.</b> 195651 <b>Client:</b> TDI CHAMPLAIN HUDSON POWER EXPRESS - CP <b>Project:</b> TRANSMISSION DEVELOPERS, INC.								<b>Remarks:</b> ○SAMPLE DESCRIPTION BASED ON VISUAL IDENTIFICATION AND LABORATORY ANALYSIS		
○ <b>Sample Source:</b> B116.8-1 <b>Depth:</b> 2.0-10.0 FT <b>Sample No.:</b> S-2 TO S-5										
TRC Engineers, Inc.										
Mt. Laurel, NJ								Figure 12		


Tested By: BMH 01/25/13      Checked By: \_\_\_\_\_

The graph displays the grain size distribution of a soil sample. The y-axis represents the percentage of soil finer than a given grain size, ranging from 0 to 100. The x-axis represents the grain size in millimeters on a logarithmic scale, ranging from 100 mm down to 0.001 mm. The curve shows that approximately 100% of the soil is finer than 2.0 mm, and about 43% is finer than 0.075 mm.

Grain Size (mm)	Percent Finer (%)
2.0	100
0.85	95
0.425	85
0.25	75
0.15	68
0.075	66
0.0475	63
0.025	60
0.015	58
0.0075	55
0.00425	43

**Tested By:** TBT 02/12/13 **Checked By:** \_\_\_\_\_

# Particle Size Distribution Report




GRAIN SIZE - mm.									
% +3"		% Gravel		% Sand			% Fines		
		Coarse	Fine	Coarse	Medium	Fine	Silt	Clay	
<input type="radio"/>	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.1	1.2	98.5	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	LL	PL	D <sub>85</sub>	D <sub>60</sub>	D <sub>50</sub>	D <sub>30</sub>	D <sub>15</sub>	D <sub>10</sub>	C <sub>c</sub>
<input type="radio"/>			0.0012						
Material Description							USCS	AASHTO	
<input type="radio"/> GRAY CLAY, TR SILT									
<b>Project No.</b> 195651 <b>Client:</b> TDI CHAMPLAIN HUDSON POWER EXPRESS - CP <b>Project:</b> TRANSMISSION DEVELOPERS, INC.							<b>Remarks:</b> ○SAMPLE DESCRIPTION BASED ON VISUAL IDENTIFICATION AND LABORATORY ANALYSIS		
<input type="radio"/> <b>Source of Sample:</b> B116.8-1 <b>Depth:</b> 53.5-55.0 FT <b>Sample Number:</b> S-14									
<b>TRC Engineers, Inc.</b>  <b>Mt. Laurel, NJ</b>									

Figure 14

Tested By: TBT 02/12/13      Checked By: \_\_\_\_\_





**Legend**

- Railroad Milepost
- Boring Location
- Railroad Crossings
- Roadway
- NYS\_Drainage\_Streams
- Rail Line

Source: Sources: Esri, HERE, Garmin, USGS, Intermap, INCREMENT P, NRCan, Esri Japan, METI, Esri China (Hong Kong), Esri Korea, Esri (Thailand), NGCC, (c) OpenStreetMap contributors, and the GIS User Community

Scale: 1:18,000

**Schnabel**  
ENGINEERING

CHAMPLAIN HUDSON POWER EXPRESS  
WHITEHALL, NEW YORK

PROJECT NO. 21C25020.03

RAIL EXPLORATION  
BORING LOCATION  
PLAN

FIGURE 3.1



**TEST  
BORING  
LOG****Project:** Champlain Hudson Power Express  
Rail Explorations  
Whitehall, New York**Boring Number:** **K116.9**  
**Contract Number:** 21C25020.03  
**Sheet:** 1 of 3

**Contractor:** Soil Testing Inc.  
Oxford, Connecticut

**Contractor Foreman:** S. DeAngelis

**Schnabel Representative:** S. Henry

**Equipment:** Diedrich D-50 (ATC)

**Method:** 4-1/4" I.D. Hollow Stem Auger

**Hammer Type:** Safety Hammer (140 lb)

**Dates Started:** 1/5/22 **Finished:** 1/5/22

**X:** 777188.4 ft **Y:** 1697522 ft **By:** Land Survey

**Coordinate System:** Lat-Long (Decimal Degrees)

**Plunge:** -90 **Bearing:**

**Ground Surface Elevation:** 133.2 (ft) **Total Depth:** 67.0 ft

**Water Level Observations**

	Date	Time	Depth	Casing	Caved
Encountered	1/5/22	11:00 AM	13.0'	13.0'	---

DEPTH (ft)	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	SYMBOL	ELEV (ft)	STRATUM	SAMPLING DEPTH	DATA	TESTS	REMARKS
	0.0 - 4.0 ft: FILL, sampled as sandy lean clay; moist, black, contains gravel	FILL				S-1, AUGER		0.0 - 5.0 ft: Hand excavated to avoid potential utilities.
4.0			129.2					
	4.0 - 5.0 ft: FILL, sampled as silty sand with gravel; moist, brown	FILL				S-2, AUGER		
5.0			128.2		5			
	5.0 - 8.5 ft: FILL, sampled as clayey gravel with sand; moist, grayish brown	FILL				S-3, SPT 2+2+2+3 REC=3", 13%		
8.5			124.7	F				
	8.5 - 12.5 ft: FILL, sampled as silty sand with gravel; moist, grayish brown	FILL			10			
						S-4, SPT 6+6+6+6 REC=7", 29%		
12.5			120.7					
	12.5 - 15.3 ft: FILL, sampled as well graded sand; wet, dark gray	FILL				S-5, SPT 2+4+3+2 REC=6", 25%		12.5 - 13.0 ft: Moderate auger grinding.
15.3			117.9		15			MC = 41.8% PP = 0.75 tsf
	15.3 - 67.0 ft: FAT CLAY; wet, gray with mottles of brown, probable LACUSTRINE material	CH				S-6, SPT 5+3+5+9 REC=14", 58%		
				L	20			PP = 1.75 tsf
						S-7, SPT 2+3+5+9 REC=18", 75%		

(continued)

TEST BORING LOG KIEWIT-CHPE-RAIL-EXPLORATIONS.GPJ SCHNABEL DATA TEMPLATE 2008\_07\_06.GDT 3/3/22

**TEST  
BORING  
LOG****Project:** Champlain Hudson Power Express  
Rail Explorations  
Whitehall, New York**Boring Number:** K116.9**Contract Number:** 21C25020.03**Sheet:** 2 of 3

DEPTH (ft)	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	SYMBOL	ELEV (ft)	STRA TUM	SAMPLING		TESTS	REMARKS
					DEPTH	DATA		
	15.3 - 67.0 ft: FAT CLAY; wet, gray with mottles of brown, probable LACUSTRINE material <i>(continued)</i>	CH				S-8, SPT 1+2+3+7 REC=24", 100%	MC = 49.4% PP = 0.50 tsf	
					30	S-9, SPT 2+2+3+6 REC=24", 100%	PP = 0.00 tsf	
	31.0 ft: Change: gray				35	S-10, SPT 1+2+1+5 REC=24", 100%	PP = 0.00 tsf	
					40	UD-1, UNDIST REC=12", 50%	LL = 56 PL = 23 MC = 41.6% % Passing #200 = 99.8 PP = 0.00 tsf PP = 0.00 tsf	
				L		S-11, SPT WOH+1+4+4 REC=24", 100%		
					45	S-12, SPT WOH/12"+2+3 REC=24", 100%	PP = 0.00 tsf	
					50	S-13, SPT WOR+WOH/12"+4 REC=24", 100%	LL = 62 PL = 23 MC = 42.6% % Passing #200 = 95.0 PP = 0.00 tsf	
					55	UD-2, UNDIST REC=13", 54%	PP = 0.00 tsf	
						S-14, SPT WOH+3+4+4 REC=24", 100%	PP = 0.00 tsf	

*(continued)*

**TEST  
BORING  
LOG****Project:** Champlain Hudson Power Express  
Rail Explorations  
Whitehall, New York**Boring Number:** **K116.9**  
**Contract Number:** 21C25020.03  
**Sheet:** 3 of 3

DEPTH (ft)	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	SYMBOL	ELEV (ft)	STRA TUM	SAMPLING		TESTS	REMARKS
					DEPTH	DATA		
67.0	15.3 - 67.0 ft: FAT CLAY; wet, gray with mottles of brown, probable LACUSTRINE material ( <i>continued</i> )	CH	66.2	L	60	S-15, SPT 1+2+3+3 REC=24", 100%	MC = 44.4% PP = 0.00 tsf	
					65	S-16, SPT WOR/24" REC=24", 100%	PP = 0.00 tsf	

Bottom of Boring at 67.0 ft.  
Boring terminated at selected depth.  
Boring backfilled with cement grout through tremie pipe upon completion.  
Unable to obtain "Completion" and "Casing Pulled" groundwater readings due to grout.  
Coordinates and elevations were provided by Kiewit Engineering (NY) Corp. on Jan. 18, 2022.  
Stratum Designations:  
F: Fill Material  
L: Lacustrine Deposits



# Summary Of Laboratory Tests


Appendix  
Sheet 3 of 3  
Project Number: 21C25020.03

Boring No.	Sample Depth ft	Sample Type	Description of Soil Specimen	Stratum	Natural Moisture (%)	Liquid Limit	Plastic Limit	Plasticity Index	% Passing No. 200 Sieve	Organic Content (%)	pH	Sulfates (mg/Kg)	Chlorides (mg/Kg)	Resistivity (ohm-cm)	Oxidation Reduction Potential (mV)
	Elevation ft														
K116.9	15.0 - 17.0	Jar	Fat Clay (visual field description)	L	41.8	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
	118.2 - 116.2														
K116.9	25.0 - 27.0	Jar	Fat Clay (visual field description)	L	49.4	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
	108.2 - 106.2														
K116.9	40.0 - 42.0	Tube	FAT CLAY (CH), gray	L	41.6	56	23	33	99.8	--	--	--	--	--	--
	93.2 - 91.2														
K116.9	50.0 - 52.0	Jar	FAT CLAY (CH), gray	L	42.6	62	23	39	95.0	--	--	--	--	--	--
	83.2 - 81.2														
K116.9	60.0 - 62.0	Jar	Fat Clay (visual field description)	L	44.4	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
	73.2 - 71.2														

- Notes:
1. Soil tests in general accordance with ASTM standards.
  2. Soil classifications are in general accordance with ASTM D2487(as applicable), based on testing indicated and visual classification.
  3. Key to abbreviations: NP=Non-Plastic; -- indicates no test performed; BRL = below reporting limit
  4. Strata: F=Fill; L=Lacustrine



**Project:** Champlain Hudson Power Express  
Rail Explorations  
Whitehall, NY



**PLOTTED DATA REPRESENTS SOIL PASSING NO. 40 SIEVE**

	Specimen	LL	PL	PI	Fines	Description
●	K113.5 8.0 ft	65	21	44		Fat Clay (visual field description)
⊠	K113.5 25.0 ft	64	25	39	100	FAT CLAY (CH), gray
▲	K114.6 35.0 ft	56	25	31	99	FAT CLAY (CH), gray
★	K114.6 62.0 ft	59	22	37	100	FAT CLAY (CH), dark brownish gray
⊙	K116.1 5.0 ft	43	20	23		Lean Clay (visual field description)
⊕	K116.1 42.0 ft	71	25	46	100	FAT CLAY (CH), gray
○	K116.9 40.0 ft	56	23	33	100	FAT CLAY (CH), gray
△	K116.9 50.0 ft	62	23	39	95	FAT CLAY (CH), gray



**Schnabel**  
ENGINEERING


**ATTERBERG LIMITS**

**Project:** Champlain Hudson Power Express  
Rail Explorations  
Whitehall, NY

**Contract:** 21C25020.03

DATE: September 23, 2022

TO: Antonio Marruso, P.E.; CHA Consulting, Inc.

FROM: Matthew Hawley, P.E.; Kiewit Engineering (NY) Corp.   
Jaren Knighton; Kiewit Engineering (NY) Corp.

SUBJECT: Geotechnical Data: Segment 3 - Package 1C - HDD Crossing 8 – Revision 1  
Champlain Hudson Power Express Project  
Whitehall, New York

---

Kiewit Engineering is providing the enclosed geotechnical data for use in the horizontal direction drill (HDD) design for the Champlain Hudson Power Express project in Upstate New York. This HDD crossing is located south of Whitehall, New York. The approximate station for the start of HDD crossing Number 8 is STA 15296+00 (43.478169° N, 73.429841° W).

The geotechnical data at this HDD crossing is enclosed. The available data is from the previous investigation by AECOM, referenced below. No additional exploratory borings were performed at this HDD location.

- AECOM, Geotechnical Data Report, Upland Segments, Champlain Hudson Power Express, dated May 28, 2021.

Contact us if you have questions or require additional information.

HDD 8  
Borings WFE-1C, WFE-1  
Segment 3



# CHPE Segments 1, 2, and 3 - Packages 1A, 1B, and 1C

## HDD Soil Boring Coordinates and Elevations

Firm	Boring	Northing (feet)	Easting (feet)	Ground Surface Elevation (feet)
S.W. Cole*	B102.4-1	1762367.3	777951.9	139.42
	B109.7-1	1729097.5	774410.6	116.4
	B109.8-1	1728870.4	774869.2	99.8
	B110.1-1	1728142.0	776337.8	105.0
TRC*	B113.4-1	1714875.2	781894.9	122.9
	B114.4-1	1709558.6	780857.2	122.7
	B115.2-1	1705777.9	780186.6	120.9
	B116.1-1	1701426.5	778616.5	124.1
	B116.2-1	1700894.8	778375.8	124.3
	B116.8-1	1697938.4	777308.2	131.6
AECOM**	PD-7	1783019.4	778020.6	266.4
	PD-7A	1782960.9	778149.9	269.5
	WFE-1	1693492.9	776280.6	127.9
	WFE-1C	1693895.8	776092.3	129.3

### Notes:

- Northings and Eastings are provided in NAD83 New York State Plane East Zone.

- Elevations are referenced to the NAVD88 datum.


\* TRC and S.W. Cole boring coordinates as shown in Table 1-6 in AECOM report (reference below).  
Boring elevations estimated from November 2021 topographic survey by Williams Aerial.

\*\* AECOM boring coordinates and elevations as shown in Table 1-6 in AECOM report.

\*\*\* Kiewit boring coordinates and elevations are noted on the boring logs.

### Reference:

AECOM, Geotechnical Data Report, Upland Segments: Putnam Station, Washington County, to Cementon, Green County, NY, Champlain Hudson Power Express, dated May 28, 2021.




## LEGEND

- 2021 Boring Location
- Previous (2013) Boring Location
- Terrestrial Route HVDC
- Submarine Route HVDC
- Terrestrial Route HYAC
- Preliminary HDD Locations
- Preliminary Pipe Bridge location
- Town Boundary
- County Boundary

## Surficial Geology

- al - Recent alluvium
- h2o - Water
- k - Kame deposits
- ld - Lacustrine delta
- ls - Lacustrine sand
- lsc - Lacustrine silt and clay
- og - Outwash sand and gravel
- pm - Swamp deposits
- r - Bedrock
- t - Till



Champlain Hudson Power Express Project  
Champlain Hudson Power Express Inc.


Surficial Geology and  
Geotechnical Borings  
Whitehall to Fort Edward  
Figure 3-3

Prepared on 5/5/2021  
by: AECOM










**LEGEND**

- 111.8 Certified Milepost - Tenths
- 111.8 Certified Milepost
- 111.8 Preferred Alternative Milepost - Tenths
- 135 Preferred Alternative Milepost
- Terrestrial Route HVDC
- Submarine Route HVDC
- Terrestrial Route HVAC
- Preliminary HDD Locations
- Preliminary Pipe Bridge Location
- 2021 Boring Location
- Previous (2013) Boring Location
- Streams/Ditches
- Railroad ROW
- Deviation Zone
- Deviation Zone Outside ROW
- Preferred Alternative Deviation Zone
- Preferred Alternative Deviation Zone Outside ROW
- Town Boundary
- Village Boundary
- State Park (OPRHP)

Parcel Ownership: **TOWN NAME**

Road Name: **Village Name**

  
Transmission  
Developers Inc.

**Champlain Hudson Power Express Project**  
*Champlain Hudson Power Express Inc.*


---


**BORING LOCATION PLAN**  
**Whitehall to Fort Edward**  
**Figure A-3**  
Sheet 4 of 16


---

Prepared by: **AECOM** 5/19/2021



BORING CONTRACTOR: ADT										SHEET 1 OF 2				
DRILLER: Chris Chaillou										PROJECT NAME: CHPE -				
SOILS ENGINEER/GEOLOGIST: Chris French										PROJECT NO.: 60323056				
		BORING LOG								HOLE NO.: WFE-1				
LOCATION: Ft Ann Bypass MP - 0.09										START DATE: 12/21/2021				
										FINISH DATE: 12/21/2021				
										OFFSET: N/A				
GROUND WATER OBSERVATIONS				CASING		SAMPLER		DRILL BIT		CORE BARREL				
No water observed		TYPE		Flush Joint Steel		California Modified		Tricone Roller Bit						
		SIZE I.D.		4"		2.5"		- -						
		SIZE O.D.		4.5"		3"		3 7/8"						
		HAMMER WT.		140 lbs		140 lbs								
		HAMMER FALL		30"		30"								
										BORING TYPE: SPT				
										BORING O.D.: 4.5"				
										SURFACE ELEV.:				
										LONGITUDE:				
										LATITUDE:				
D E P T H	CORING RATE MIN/FT	S A M P L E		PEN. in	REC. in	BLOWS PER 6 in ON SAMPLER (ROCK QUALITY DESIGNATION)				N Corr. <sup>(2)</sup>	USCS CLASS.	STRAT. CHNG. DEPTH	FIELD IDENTIFICATION OF SOILS	
		DEPTHS FROM - TO (FEET)	TYPE AND NO.											
1.0		0'-5'				Hand Cleared					SM/SP	Silty Sand	0'-3' Br f-c SAND, little silt, little sub angular gravel, loose, moist (fill)	
2.0														
3.0		3'-5'	S-1											
4.0												Silty CLAY	3'-4'; Brown SILT and clay, little f-m sand, medium stiff, moist 4'-5'; Brown f-c SAND, little silt, loose, saturated, TR-1; (3.0'-5.0') Gray CLAY and silt, occasional f-c sand laminates, organic material, stiff, moist	
5.0														
6.0		5'-7'	S-2	24"	24"	4	8	9	5	11	CH			
7.0														
8.0		7'-9'	S-3	24"	24"	6	6	6	6	8	CH			
9.0														
10.0		9'-11'	S-4	24"	24"	8	9	9	5	12	CH			
11.0														
12.0		11'-13'	S-5	24"	12"	5	6	6	6	8	CH			
13.0														
14.0		13'-15'	S-6	24"	20"	8	9	9	9	12	CH			
15.0												Silty CLAY	Gray silty CLAY, occasional fine sand laminates, medium stiff, moist TR-2; (8.0'-8.5') Gray silty CLAY, medium stiff, moist Gray silty CLAY, brown, mottling, medium stiff, moist	
16.0		15'-17'	S-7	24"	24"	5	5	6	8	7	CH			
17.0														
18.0														
19.0														
20.0														
NOTES: (1) Thick-wall ring lined drive sampler (California sampler) used for SPT samples. Rings dimensions = 2-1/2" O.D. by 2-7/16" I.D. by 6" length. (2) Correction factor: $N_{corr} = N \cdot (2.0^2 - 1.375^2) \text{ in.} / (3.0^2 - 2.4^2) \text{ in.} = N \cdot 0.65$ .  Soil description represents a field identification after D.M. Burmister unless otherwise noted.													The information contained on this log is not warranted to show the actual subsurface condition. The contractor agrees that he will make no claims against AECOM if he finds that the actual conditions do not conform to those indicated by this log.	
SAMPLE TYPE:		S= SPLIT SPOON		U=SHELBY TUBE		R=ROCK CORE								
PROPORTIONS:		TRACE=1-10%		LITTLE=10-20%		SOME=20-35%		AND=35-50%						

BORING CONTRACTOR: ADT												SHEET 2 OF 2		
DRILLER: Chris Chaillou												PROJECT NAME: CHPE -		
SOILS ENGINEER: Chris French												PROJECT NO.: 60323056		
												HOLE NO.: WFE-1		
LOCATION: Ft Ann Bypass MP - 0.09												START DATE: 12/21/2021		
BORING LOG												FINISH DATE: 12/21/2021		
												OFFSET: N/A		
DEPTH	CORING RATE MIN/FT	DEPTHS FROM - TO (FEET)	TYPE AND NO.	PEN. in	REC. in	BLOWS PER 6 in ON SAMPLER (ROCK QUALITY DESIGNATION)				N Corr.	USCS CLASS.	STRAT. CHNG. DEPTH	FIELD IDENTIFICATION OF SOILS	
						3	8	11	12					
21.0		20'-22'	S-8	24"	24"	3	8	11	12	12	CH	Silty CLAY	Gray silty CLAY, brown mottling, very stiff, moist	
22.0														
23.0														
24.0														
25.0														
26.0		25'-27'	S-9	24"	24"	WOH/15"		1	3	1	CH			Gray silty CLAY, soft, moist
27.0														
28.0														
29.0														
30.0														
31.0		30'-32'	S-10	24"	24"	WOH/17"			2		CH			Gray silty CLAY, soft, moist
32.0														
33.0														
34.0														
35.0														
36.0		35'-37'	S-11	24"	24"	WOH/20"			1		CH			Gray silty CLAY, very soft, moist-wet
37.0														
38.0														
39.0		38'-40'	S-12	24"	24"	WOH/18"			1		CH		SAA TR-4; (39.0'-39.5')	
40.0														
41.0													Boring terminated at 40', grouted to surface	
42.0														
43.0														
44.0														
45.0														
NOTES:												The information contained on this log is not warranted to show the actual subsurface condition. The contractor agrees that he will make no claims against AECOM if he finds that the actual conditions do not conform to those indicated by this log.		
Soil description represents a field identification after D.M. Burmister unless otherwise noted.														
SAMPLE TYPE:		S= SPLIT SPOON		U=SHELBY TUBE		R=ROCK CORE								
PROPORTIONS:		TRACE=1-10%		LITTLE=10-20%		SOME=20-35%		AND=35-50%						

BORING CONTRACTOR: ADT												SHEET 1 OF 2		
DRILLER: Chris Chaillou												PROJECT NAME: CHPE -		
SOILS ENGINEER/GEOLOGIST: Chris French												PROJECT NO.: 60323056		
BORING LOG												HOLE NO.: WFE-1C		
LOCATION: MP- 117.6 (CP Rail)												START DATE: 12/10/20		
												FINISH DATE: 12/10/20		
GROUND WATER OBSERVATIONS												OFFSET: N/A		
Water at 1.5'		TYPE		Casing		Sampler		Drill Bit		Core Barrel		Drill Rig: Geoprobe 7822DT		
		Flush Joint Steel		California Modified		Tricone Roller Bit						BORING TYPE: SPT		
		SIZE I.D.		4"		2.5"		--				BORING O.D.: 4.5"		
		SIZE O.D.		4.5"		3"		3 7/8"				SURFACE ELEV.:		
		HAMMER WT.		140 lbs		140 lbs						LONGITUDE:		
		HAMMER FALL		30"		30"						LATITUDE:		
D E P T H	CORING RATE MIN/FT	S A M P L E		PEN. in	REC. in	BLOWS PER 6 in ON SAMPLER (ROCK QUALITY DESIGNATION)				N Corr. <sup>(2)</sup>	USCS CLASS.	STRAT. CHNG. DEPTH	FIELD IDENTIFICATION OF SOILS	
		DEPTHS FROM - TO (FEET)	TYPE AND NO.											
1.0		0'-3'				Hand Cleared				2	SP	Sand and Gravel	0.0'-3.0'; Dark brown coarse SAND, little angular-subrounded gravel, little medium-fine sand, trace cobbles	
2.0														Hand clearing stopped, material sloughing in
3.0														
4.0		3'-5'	S-1	24"	24"	8	2	1	1	7	SP	Sand and Gravel	Gray medium-coarse SAND, little subangular gravel, little fine sand; loose, saturated	
5.0														TR-1; (3.0'-5.0')
6.0		5'-7'	S-2	24"	6"	4	4	7	5				11	CH
7.0											Gray CLAY and silt, little coarse sand, little subangular gravel; wet, stiff			
8.0		7'-9'	S-3	24"	12"	7	9	8	8		Light brown silty CLAY; very stiff, moist			
9.0										8	CH	Silty CLAY	TR-2; (8.0'-8.5')	
10.0		9'-11'	S-4	24"	24"	12	7	6	6					Light brown silty CLAY; stiff, moist
11.0														
12.0		11'-13'	S-5	24"	24"	7	7	7	6	9	CH	Silty CLAY	Light brown silty CLAY; stiff, moist	
13.0														TR-3; (12.0'-12.5')
14.0		13'-15'	S-6	24"	24"	8	6	4	6				7	CH
15.0														
16.0		15'-17'	S-7	24"	24"	7	8	10	10	12	CH	Silty CLAY		
17.0														
18.0														
19.0														
20.0														
NOTES: (1) Thick-wall ring lined drive sampler (California sampler) used for SPT samples. Rings dimensions = 2-1/2" O.D. by 2-7/16" I.D. by 6" length. (2) Correction factor: $N_{corr} = N \cdot (2.0^2 - 1.375^2) \text{ in.} / (3.0^2 - 2.4^2) \text{ in.} = N \cdot 0.65$ .  Soil description represents a field identification after D.M. Burmister unless otherwise noted.												The information contained on this log is not warranted to show the actual subsurface condition. The contractor agrees that he will make no claims against AECOM if he finds that the actual conditions do not conform to those indicated by this log.		
SAMPLE TYPE:		S= SPLIT SPOON		U=SHELBY TUBE		R=ROCK CORE								
PROPORTIONS:		TRACE=1-10%		LITTLE=10-20%		SOME=20-35%		AND=35-50%						




BORING CONTRACTOR: ADT				<div>AECOM</div>										SHEET 2 OF 2	
DRILLER: Chris Chaillou														PROJECT NAME: CHPE -	
SOILS ENGINEER: Chris French														PROJECT NO.: 60323056	
														HOLE NO.: WFE-1C	
LOCATION: MP- 117.6 (CP Rail)										BORING LOG		START DATE: 12/10/20			
												FINISH DATE: 12/10/20			
												OFFSET: N/A			
DEPTH	CORING RATE MIN/FT	DEPTHS FROM - TO (FEET)	TYPE AND NO.	PEN. in	REC. in	BLOWS PER 6 in ON SAMPLER (ROCK QUALITY DESIGNATION)				N Corr.	USCS CLASS.	STRAT. CHNG. DEPTH	FIELD IDENTIFICATION OF SOILS		
						2	3	5	8						
21.0		20'-22'	S-8	24"	24"	2	3	5	8	5	CH	Silty CLAY	Gray silty CLAY; soft to stiff, increasing stiffness with depth, moist		
22.0										13	CH			Gray silty CLAY; soft-medium stiff, stiffness increasing with depth, wet	
23.0															
24.0															
25.0															
26.0		25'-27'	S-9	24"	24"	4	6	14	17						
27.0															
28.0															
29.0															
30.0															
31.0		30'-32'	S-10	24"	24"	2	5	5	9						
32.0															
33.0															
34.0															
35.0															
36.0		35'-37'	S-11	24"	24"	3	3	6	10						
37.0															
38.0															
39.0		38'-40'	S-12	24"	24"	2	5	8	10						
40.0															
41.0															
42.0															
43.0															
44.0															
45.0															
NOTES:												The information contained on this log is not warranted to show the actual subsurface condition. The contractor agrees that he will make no claims against AECOM if he finds that the actual conditions do not conform to those indicated by this log.			
Soil description represents a field identification after D.M. Burmister unless otherwise noted.															
SAMPLE TYPE:		S= SPLIT SPOON		U=SHELBY TUBE		R=ROCK CORE									
PROPORTIONS:		TRACE=1-10%		LITTLE=10-20%		SOME=20-35%		AND=35-50%							

**Aquifer**  
**CHPE- Whitehall-Ft. Edward Borings**  
**LABORATORY SOIL TESTING DATA SUMMARY**

BORING NO.	SAMPLE NO.	DEPTH (ft)	IDENTIFICATION TESTS								REMARKS
			WATER CONTENT (%)	LIQUID LIMIT (-)	PLASTIC LIMIT (-)	PLAS. INDEX (-)	USCS SYMB. (1)	SIEVE MINUS NO. 200 (%)	HYDROMETER % MINUS 2 $\mu$ m (%)	ORGANIC CONTENT (burnoff) (%)	
WFE-1A	S-2	5-7	24.4	44	17	27	CL	93	39		
WFE-1A	S-5	11-13	43.0	68	23	45	CH	99.8	84		
WFE-1C	S-3	7-9	44.5				CH	99.3	86		
WFE-1C	S-7	15-17	44.5	78	27	51	CH	100	94		
WFE-1C	S-10	30-32	45.7	61	23	38	CH	100	87		
WFE-2	S-2	5-7	7.3				SW-SM	10.7	3		
WFE-2	S-7	15-17	26.0				SC	28.5	13		
WFE-2	S-9	25-27	66.0	71	26	45	CH	100	90		
WFE-4	S-2	5-7	18.0				SC	34	13		
WFE-4	S-4	9-11	18.3				SM	17	5		
WFE-5	S-2	5-7	19.9				SM	19	3		
WFE-5	S-4	9-11	18.6	28	15	13	CL	91	28		
WFE-6A	S-2	5-7	13.6				SP-SC	9	3		
WFE-6A	S-4	9-11	17.4				SP-SM	7	2		
WFE-8	S-3	6-8	24.9				SC	48.5	12		
WFE-8	S-4	8-10	88.5	128	53	75	MH	94	43		
WFE-10	S-2	5-7	38.0	71	24	47	CH	94	76		
WFE-10	S-4	9-11	22.5				CL	83.9	32		
WFE-12	S-2	5-7	23.5	49	20	29	CL	62.5	35		
WFE-12	S-4	9-11	28.3				CL	95.8	37		
WFE-14	S-3	7-9	25.7				CL	75.7	44		
WFE-14	S-5	13-15	22.5				ML	53.9	17		
WFE-16	S-3	7-9	36.7	75	25	50	CH	100	90		
WFE-16	S-9	25-27	37.1	73	24	49	CH	100	80		
WFE-18	S-3	7-9	229.7	293	93	200	OH	58	43	34.1	
WFE-18	S-8	20-22	34.3	30	21	9	CL	95	26		
WFE-18	S-10	30-32	64.3	56	21	35	CH	100	87		
WFE-18A	S-2	5-7	19.9	30	13	17	CL	88.5	29		
WFE-18A	S-7	15-17	18.9				SM	14.3	1		
WFE-18A	S-10	30-32	62.9	62	22	40	CH	99	86		
WFE-19A	S-3	7-9	38.1				SP-SM	8	3		
WFE-19A	S-8	20-22	31.8				SP-SM	8.3	2		
WFE-19A	S-10	30-32	17.6				SW-SM	8	1		

Note: (1) USCS symbol based on visual observation and Sieve and Atterberg limits reported.





## Appendix D

### BoreAid HDD Simulation Output



## Generated Output



**WARNING:** The accuracy of the data obtained by the BoreAid® system is highly dependent upon accurate data gathering, data input and proper use of the software. Vermeer is not responsible for that information. BoreAid® data is not intended to replace the need for future on-site utility locating, measuring and verification procedures, which are essential for accurate placement of new underground installations and avoidance of existing utilities.

### CALL YOUR ONE-CALL SYSTEM FIRST



**WARNING:** Always contact your local One-Call system before the start of your digging project. The BoreAid® system is intended to be used with other utility locating methods, such as the use of the One-Call system and the exposing of existing utilities by potholing.

Locate utilities before drilling. Call 811 (U.S. only) or 1-888-258-0808 (U.S. or Canada) or local utility companies or national regulating authority.

Before you start any digging project, do not forget to call the local One-Call system in your area and any utility company that does not subscribe to the One-Call system. For areas not represented by One-Call Systems International, contact the appropriate utility companies or national regulating authority to locate and mark the underground installations. If you do not call, you may have an accident or suffer injuries; cause interruption of services; damage the environment; or experience job delays.

OSHA CFR 29 1926.651 requires that the estimated location of underground utilities be determined before beginning the excavation or underground drilling operation. When the actual excavation or bore approaches an estimated utility location, the exact location of the underground installation must be determined by a safe, acceptable and dependable method. If the utility cannot be precisely located, it must be shut off by the utility company.

---

## Project Summary

### General:

HDD #3 - Conduit 1

Start Date: 12-10-2021

End Date: 12-10-2021

### Designer:

TAR

CHA

### Description:



Input Summary

Start Coordinate	(0.00, 0.00, 121.00) ft
End Coordinate	(1894.40, 0.00, 127.20) ft
Project Length	1894.40 ft
Pipe Type	HDPE
OD Classification	IPS
Pipe OD	10.750 in
Pipe DR	9.0
Pipe Thickness	1.19 in
Rod Length	15.00 ft
Rod Diameter	3.5 in
Drill Rig Location	(0.00, 0.00, 0.00) ft

## Soil Summary

Number of Layers: 3

Soil Layer #1 USCS, Sand (S), SM

Depth: 11.60 ft

Unit Weight: 105.0000 (dry), 115.0000 (sat) [lb/ft3]

Phi: 30.00, S.M.: 145.00, Coh: 0.00 [psi]

Soil Layer #2 USCS, Clay (C), CH

Depth: 15.60 ft

Unit Weight: 90.0000 (dry), 115.0000 (sat) [lb/ft3]

Phi: 0.00, S.M.: 350.00, Coh: 6.90 [psi]


Soil Layer #3 USCS, Clay (C), CH

Depth: 35.00 ft


Unit Weight: 70.0000 (dry), 100.0000 (sat) [lb/ft3]

Phi: 0.00, S.M.: 200.00, Coh: 3.10 [psi]

## Bore Cross-Section View



Bore Plan View





## Load Verifier Input Summary:

Pipe Application: Electrical Cable  
Pipe Type: HDPE  
Classification: IPS  
Pipe OD: 10" (10.75")  
Pipe DR: 9  
Pipe Length: 1904.99 ft  
Internal Pressure: 0 psi  
Borehole Diameter: 1.34400002161662 ft  
Silo Width: 1.34400002161662 ft  
Surface Surcharge: 3.32999992370605 psi  
Short Term Modulus: 57500 psi  
Long Term Modulus: 28200 psi  
Short Term Poisson Ratio: 0.35  
Long Term Poisson Ratio: 0.45  
Pipe Unit Weight: 59.30500 lb/ft3  
Allowable Tensile Stress (Short Term): 1200 psi  
Allowable Tensile Stress (Long Term): 1100 psi  
Allowable Compressive Stress (Short Term): 1150 psi  
Allowable Compressive Stress (Long Term): 1150 psi  
Surface-pipe friction coefficient at entrance: 0.5  
Surface-pipe friction coefficient in borehole: 0.3  
Pipe-soil friction angle: 30  
Slurry Unit Weight: 93.64118 lb/ft3  
Hydrokinetic Pressure: 10 psi  
Ballast Unit Weight: 62.42746 lb/ft3

In-service Load Summary:

Pressure [psi]	Deformed	Collapsed
Earth Pressure	10.8	22.1
Water Pressure	18.4	18.4
Surface Surcharge	3.3	3.3
Internal Pressure	0.0	0.0
Net Pressure	29.2	40.4
Deflection		
Earth Load Deflection	2.944	6.018
Buoyant Deflection	0.132	0.132
Reissner Effect	0	0
Net Deflection	3.076	6.150
Compressive Stress [psi]		
Compressive Wall Stress	131.2	181.9

Installation Load Summary:

Forces/Stresses	@Maximum Force	Absolute Maximum
Pullback Force [lb]	32831.0	32831.0
Pullback Stress [psi]	915.6	915.6
Pullback Strain	1.592E-2	1.592E-2
Bending Stress [psi]	0.0	25.8
Bending Strain	0	4.479E-4
Tensile Stress [psi]	915.6	938.8
Tensile Strain	1.592E-2	1.678E-2

Net External Pressure = 25.6 [psi ]

Buoyant Deflection = 0.1

Hydrokinetic Force = 567.6 lb

### In-service Analysis

	Calculated	Allowable	Factor of Safety	Check
Deflection [%]	3.076	7.5	2.4	OK
Unconstrained Collapse [psi]	34.1	104.9	3.1	OK
Compressive Wall Stress [psi]	131.2	1150.0	8.8	OK

### Installation Analysis

	Calculated	Allowable	Factor of Safety	Check
Deflection [%]	0.065	7.5	115.8	OK
Unconstrained Collapse [psi]	44.1	198.5	4.5	OK
Tensile Stress [psi]	938.8	1200.0	1.3	OK

### Maximum Allowable Bore Pressure Summary

Ream Number	Initial Diameter	Final Diameter	Estimated Maximum Pressure (Avg)	Estimated Maximum Pressure (Local)
Pilot Bore	0.00 in	8.00 in	78,802 psi	61,984 psi
1	8.00 in	12.00 in	78,754 psi	61,797 psi
2	12.00 in	16.13 in	78,685 psi	61,535 psi

Note: The maximum bore pressures presented in this table are the maximum values along the length of the bore and not the maximum allowable at any point. The estimated maximum pressures should be compared to the estimated circulating pressures along the bore to determine potential locations of inadvertant returns.

### Estimated Circulating Pressure Summary

Active	Shear Rate [rpm]	Shear Stress [Fann Degrees]
No	600	37
No	300	32
No	200	29
Yes	100	25
Yes	6	17
No	3	15

Flow Rate (Q): 40.00 US (liquid) gallon/min

Drill Fluid Density: 68.700 lb/ft3

Rheological model: Power-Law

Fluid Consistency Index (K): 63.17


Power Law Exponent (n): 0.14


Effective Viscosity (cP): 859.3





---

## Virtual Site






















## Generated Output



**WARNING:** The accuracy of the data obtained by the BoreAid® system is highly dependent upon accurate data gathering, data input and proper use of the software. Vermeer is not responsible for that information. BoreAid® data is not intended to replace the need for future on-site utility locating, measuring and verification procedures, which are essential for accurate placement of new underground installations and avoidance of existing utilities.

### CALL YOUR ONE-CALL SYSTEM FIRST



**WARNING:** Always contact your local One-Call system before the start of your digging project. The BoreAid® system is intended to be used with other utility locating methods, such as the use of the One-Call system and the exposing of existing utilities by potholing.

Locate utilities before drilling. Call 811 (U.S. only) or 1-888-258-0808 (U.S. or Canada) or local utility companies or national regulating authority.

Before you start any digging project, do not forget to call the local One-Call system in your area and any utility company that does not subscribe to the One-Call system. For areas not represented by One-Call Systems International, contact the appropriate utility companies or national regulating authority to locate and mark the underground installations. If you do not call, you may have an accident or suffer injuries; cause interruption of services; damage the environment; or experience job delays.

OSHA CFR 29 1926.651 requires that the estimated location of underground utilities be determined before beginning the excavation or underground drilling operation. When the actual excavation or bore approaches an estimated utility location, the exact location of the underground installation must be determined by a safe, acceptable and dependable method. If the utility cannot be precisely located, it must be shut off by the utility company.



Input Summary

Start Coordinate	(0.00, 0.00, 121.00) ft
End Coordinate	(1894.40, 0.00, 127.20) ft
Project Length	1894.40 ft
Pipe Type	HDPE
OD Classification	IPS
Pipe OD	2.375 in
Pipe DR	9.0
Pipe Thickness	0.26 in
Rod Length	15.00 ft
Rod Diameter	3.5 in
Drill Rig Location	(0.00, 0.00, 0.00) ft

## Load Verifier Input Summary:

Pipe Application: Electrical Cable  
Pipe Type: HDPE  
Classification: IPS  
Pipe OD: 2" (2.375")  
Pipe DR: 9  
Pipe Length: 1904.99 ft  
Internal Pressure: 0 psi  
Borehole Diameter: 0.531000018119812 ft  
Silo Width: 0.531000018119812 ft  
Surface Surcharge: 3.32999992370605 psi  
Short Term Modulus: 57500 psi  
Long Term Modulus: 28200 psi  
Short Term Poisson Ratio: 0.35  
Long Term Poisson Ratio: 0.45  
Pipe Unit Weight: 59.30500 lb/ft3  
Allowable Tensile Stress (Short Term): 1200 psi  
Allowable Tensile Stress (Long Term): 1100 psi  
Allowable Compressive Stress (Short Term): 1150 psi  
Allowable Compressive Stress (Long Term): 1150 psi  
Surface-pipe friction coefficient at entrance: 0.5  
Surface-pipe friction coefficient in borehole: 0.3  
Pipe-soil friction angle: 30  
Slurry Unit Weight: 93.64118 lb/ft3  
Hydrokinetic Pressure: 10 psi  
Ballast Unit Weight: 62.42746 lb/ft3

In-service Load Summary:

Pressure [psi]	Deformed	Collapsed
Earth Pressure	7.4	22.1
Water Pressure	18.4	18.4
Surface Surcharge	3.3	3.3
Internal Pressure	0.0	0.0
Net Pressure	25.8	40.4
Deflection		
Earth Load Deflection	2.019	6.018
Buoyant Deflection	0.029	0.029
Reissner Effect	0	0
Net Deflection	2.048	6.047
Compressive Stress [psi]		
Compressive Wall Stress	116.0	181.9

Installation Load Summary:

Forces/Stresses	@Maximum Force	Absolute Maximum
Pullback Force [lb]	1712.1	1712.1
Pullback Stress [psi]	978.2	978.2
Pullback Strain	1.701E-2	1.701E-2
Bending Stress [psi]	0.0	5.7
Bending Strain	0	9.896E-5
Tensile Stress [psi]	978.2	981.4
Tensile Strain	1.701E-2	1.717E-2

Net External Pressure = 25.6 [psi ]

Buoyant Deflection = 0.0

Hydrokinetic Force = 137.3 lb

### In-service Analysis

	Calculated	Allowable	Factor of Safety	Check
Deflection [%]	2.048	7.5	3.7	OK
Unconstrained Collapse [psi]	34.1	114.9	3.4	OK
Compressive Wall Stress [psi]	116.0	1150.0	9.9	OK

### Installation Analysis

	Calculated	Allowable	Factor of Safety	Check
Deflection [%]	0.014	7.5	524.3	OK
Unconstrained Collapse [psi]	44.1	195.9	4.4	OK
Tensile Stress [psi]	981.4	1200.0	1.2	OK





## Generated Output



**WARNING:** The accuracy of the data obtained by the BoreAid® system is highly dependent upon accurate data gathering, data input and proper use of the software. Vermeer is not responsible for that information. BoreAid® data is not intended to replace the need for future on-site utility locating, measuring and verification procedures, which are essential for accurate placement of new underground installations and avoidance of existing utilities.

### CALL YOUR ONE-CALL SYSTEM FIRST



**WARNING:** Always contact your local One-Call system before the start of your digging project. The BoreAid® system is intended to be used with other utility locating methods, such as the use of the One-Call system and the exposing of existing utilities by potholing.

Locate utilities before drilling. Call 811 (U.S. only) or 1-888-258-0808 (U.S. or Canada) or local utility companies or national regulating authority.

Before you start any digging project, do not forget to call the local One-Call system in your area and any utility company that does not subscribe to the One-Call system. For areas not represented by One-Call Systems International, contact the appropriate utility companies or national regulating authority to locate and mark the underground installations. If you do not call, you may have an accident or suffer injuries; cause interruption of services; damage the environment; or experience job delays.

OSHA CFR 29 1926.651 requires that the estimated location of underground utilities be determined before beginning the excavation or underground drilling operation. When the actual excavation or bore approaches an estimated utility location, the exact location of the underground installation must be determined by a safe, acceptable and dependable method. If the utility cannot be precisely located, it must be shut off by the utility company.

---

## Project Summary

### General:

HDD #3 - Conduit 2

Start Date: 12-10-2021

End Date: 12-10-2021

### Designer:

TAR

CHA

### Description:

Input Summary

Start Coordinate	(0.00, 0.00, 121.00) ft
End Coordinate	(1894.40, 0.00, 127.50) ft
Project Length	1894.40 ft
Pipe Type	HDPE
OD Classification	IPS
Pipe OD	10.750 in
Pipe DR	9.0
Pipe Thickness	1.19 in
Rod Length	15.00 ft
Rod Diameter	3.5 in
Drill Rig Location	(0.00, 0.00, 0.00) ft

## Soil Summary

Number of Layers: 3

Soil Layer #1 USCS, Sand (S), SM

Depth: 11.60 ft

Unit Weight: 105.0000 (dry), 115.0000 (sat) [lb/ft3]

Phi: 30.00, S.M.: 145.00, Coh: 0.00 [psi]

Soil Layer #2 USCS, Clay (C), CH

Depth: 15.60 ft

Unit Weight: 90.0000 (dry), 115.0000 (sat) [lb/ft3]

Phi: 0.00, S.M.: 350.00, Coh: 6.90 [psi]

Soil Layer #3 USCS, Clay (C), CH


Depth: 35.00 ft

Unit Weight: 70.0000 (dry), 100.0000 (sat) [lb/ft3]


Phi: 0.00, S.M.: 200.00, Coh: 3.10 [psi]



### Bore Cross-Section View



Bore Plan View



## Load Verifier Input Summary:

Pipe Application: Electrical Cable  
Pipe Type: HDPE  
Classification: IPS  
Pipe OD: 10" (10.75")  
Pipe DR: 9  
Pipe Length: 1904.99 ft  
Internal Pressure: 0 psi  
Borehole Diameter: 1.34400002161662 ft  
Silo Width: 1.34400002161662 ft  
Surface Surcharge: 3.32999992370605 psi  
Short Term Modulus: 57500 psi  
Long Term Modulus: 28200 psi  
Short Term Poisson Ratio: 0.35  
Long Term Poisson Ratio: 0.45  
Pipe Unit Weight: 59.30500 lb/ft3  
Allowable Tensile Stress (Short Term): 1200 psi  
Allowable Tensile Stress (Long Term): 1100 psi  
Allowable Compressive Stress (Short Term): 1150 psi  
Allowable Compressive Stress (Long Term): 1150 psi  
Surface-pipe friction coefficient at entrance: 0.5  
Surface-pipe friction coefficient in borehole: 0.3  
Pipe-soil friction angle: 30  
Slurry Unit Weight: 93.64118 lb/ft3  
Hydrokinetic Pressure: 10 psi  
Ballast Unit Weight: 62.42746 lb/ft3

In-service Load Summary:

Pressure [psi]	Deformed	Collapsed
Earth Pressure	6.6	21.6
Water Pressure	18.4	18.3
Surface Surcharge	3.3	3.3
Internal Pressure	0.0	0.0
Net Pressure	25.0	40.0
Deflection		
Earth Load Deflection	1.799	5.890
Buoyant Deflection	0.132	0.132
Reissner Effect	0	0
Net Deflection	1.931	6.022
Compressive Stress [psi]		
Compressive Wall Stress	112.3	179.8

Installation Load Summary:

Forces/Stresses	@Maximum Force	Absolute Maximum
Pullback Force [lb]	32821.6	32821.6
Pullback Stress [psi]	915.4	915.4
Pullback Strain	1.592E-2	1.592E-2
Bending Stress [psi]	0.0	25.8
Bending Strain	0	4.479E-4
Tensile Stress [psi]	915.4	938.5
Tensile Strain	1.592E-2	1.677E-2

Net External Pressure = 25.5 [psi ]

Buoyant Deflection = 0.1

Hydrokinetic Force = 567.6 lb



### In-service Analysis

	Calculated	Allowable	Factor of Safety	Check
Deflection [%]	1.931	7.5	3.9	OK
Unconstrained Collapse [psi]	34.0	116.2	3.4	OK
Compressive Wall Stress [psi]	112.3	1150.0	10.2	OK

### Installation Analysis

	Calculated	Allowable	Factor of Safety	Check
Deflection [%]	0.065	7.5	115.8	OK
Unconstrained Collapse [psi]	44.0	198.5	4.5	OK
Tensile Stress [psi]	938.5	1200.0	1.3	OK

Maximum Allowable Bore Pressure Summary

Ream Number	Initial Diameter	Final Diameter	Estimated Maximum Pressure (Avg)	Estimated Maximum Pressure (Local)
Pilot Bore	0.00 in	8.00 in	77.758 psi	62.450 psi
1	8.00 in	12.00 in	77.700 psi	62.270 psi
2	12.00 in	16.13 in	77.616 psi	62.017 psi

Note: The maximum bore pressures presented in this table are the maximum values along the length of the bore and not the maximum allowable at any point. The estimated maximum pressures should be compared to the estimated circulating pressures along the bore to determine potential locations of inadvertant returns.

Estimated Circulating Pressure Summary

Active	Shear Rate [rpm]	Shear Stress [Fann Degrees]
No	600	37
No	300	32
No	200	29
Yes	100	25
Yes	6	17
No	3	15

Flow Rate (Q): 40.00 US (liquid) gallon/min

Drill Fluid Density: 68.700 lb/ft3

Rheological model: Bingham-Plastic


Plastic Viscosity (PV): 25.53


Yield Point (YP): 16.49

Effective Viscosity (cP): 1202.0


---


## Virtual Site
























## Generated Output



**WARNING:** The accuracy of the data obtained by the BoreAid® system is highly dependent upon accurate data gathering, data input and proper use of the software. Vermeer is not responsible for that information. BoreAid® data is not intended to replace the need for future on-site utility locating, measuring and verification procedures, which are essential for accurate placement of new underground installations and avoidance of existing utilities.

### CALL YOUR ONE-CALL SYSTEM FIRST



**WARNING:** Always contact your local One-Call system before the start of your digging project. The BoreAid® system is intended to be used with other utility locating methods, such as the use of the One-Call system and the exposing of existing utilities by potholing.

Locate utilities before drilling. Call 811 (U.S. only) or 1-888-258-0808 (U.S. or Canada) or local utility companies or national regulating authority.

Before you start any digging project, do not forget to call the local One-Call system in your area and any utility company that does not subscribe to the One-Call system. For areas not represented by One-Call Systems International, contact the appropriate utility companies or national regulating authority to locate and mark the underground installations. If you do not call, you may have an accident or suffer injuries; cause interruption of services; damage the environment; or experience job delays.

OSHA CFR 29 1926.651 requires that the estimated location of underground utilities be determined before beginning the excavation or underground drilling operation. When the actual excavation or bore approaches an estimated utility location, the exact location of the underground installation must be determined by a safe, acceptable and dependable method. If the utility cannot be precisely located, it must be shut off by the utility company.

Input Summary

Start Coordinate	(0.00, 0.00, 121.00) ft
End Coordinate	(1894.40, 0.00, 127.50) ft
Project Length	1894.40 ft
Pipe Type	HDPE
OD Classification	IPS
Pipe OD	2.375 in
Pipe DR	9.0
Pipe Thickness	0.26 in
Rod Length	15.00 ft
Rod Diameter	3.5 in
Drill Rig Location	(0.00, 0.00, 0.00) ft

## Load Verifier Input Summary:

Pipe Application: Electrical Cable  
Pipe Type: HDPE  
Classification: IPS  
Pipe OD: 2" (2.375")  
Pipe DR: 9  
Pipe Length: 1904.99 ft  
Internal Pressure: 0 psi  
Borehole Diameter: 0.531000018119812 ft  
Silo Width: 0.531000018119812 ft  
Surface Surcharge: 3.32999992370605 psi  
Short Term Modulus: 57500 psi  
Long Term Modulus: 28200 psi  
Short Term Poisson Ratio: 0.35  
Long Term Poisson Ratio: 0.45  
Pipe Unit Weight: 59.30500 lb/ft3  
Allowable Tensile Stress (Short Term): 1200 psi  
Allowable Tensile Stress (Long Term): 1100 psi  
Allowable Compressive Stress (Short Term): 1150 psi  
Allowable Compressive Stress (Long Term): 1150 psi  
Surface-pipe friction coefficient at entrance: 0.5  
Surface-pipe friction coefficient in borehole: 0.3  
Pipe-soil friction angle: 30  
Slurry Unit Weight: 93.64118 lb/ft3  
Hydrokinetic Pressure: 10 psi  
Ballast Unit Weight: 62.42746 lb/ft3



In-service Load Summary:

Pressure [psf]	Deformed	Collapsed
Earth Pressure	2.9	21.6
Water Pressure	18.4	18.3
Surface Surcharge	3.3	3.3
Internal Pressure	0.0	0.0
Net Pressure	21.3	40.0
Deflection		
Earth Load Deflection	0.948	5.890
Buoyant Deflection	0.029	0.029
Reissner Effect	0	0
Net Deflection	0.977	5.919
Compressive Stress [psf]		
Compressive Wall Stress	95.8	179.8

Installation Load Summary:

Forces/Stresses	@Maximum Force	Absolute Maximum
Pullback Force [lb]	1711.6	1711.6
Pullback Stress [psi]	978.0	978.0
Pullback Strain	1.701E-2	1.701E-2
Bending Stress [psi]	0.0	5.7
Bending Strain	0	9.896E-5
Tensile Stress [psi]	978.0	981.1
Tensile Strain	1.701E-2	1.716E-2

Net External Pressure = 25.5 [psi ]

Buoyant Deflection = 0.0

Hydrokinetic Force = 137.3 lb

### In-service Analysis

	Calculated	Allowable	Factor of Safety	Check
Deflection [%]	0.977	7.5	7.7	OK
Unconstrained Collapse [psi]	34.0	128.2	3.8	OK
Compressive Wall Stress [psi]	95.8	1150.0	12.0	OK

### Installation Analysis

	Calculated	Allowable	Factor of Safety	Check
Deflection [%]	0.014	7.5	524.3	OK
Unconstrained Collapse [psi]	44.0	195.9	4.5	OK
Tensile Stress [psi]	981.1	1200.0	1.2	OK



## Generated Output



**WARNING:** The accuracy of the data obtained by the BoreAid® system is highly dependent upon accurate data gathering, data input and proper use of the software. Vermeer is not responsible for that information. BoreAid® data is not intended to replace the need for future on-site utility locating, measuring and verification procedures, which are essential for accurate placement of new underground installations and avoidance of existing utilities.

### CALL YOUR ONE-CALL SYSTEM FIRST



**WARNING:** Always contact your local One-Call system before the start of your digging project. The BoreAid® system is intended to be used with other utility locating methods, such as the use of the One-Call system and the exposing of existing utilities by potholing.

Locate utilities before drilling. Call 811 (U.S. only) or 1-888-258-0808 (U.S. or Canada) or local utility companies or national regulating authority.

Before you start any digging project, do not forget to call the local One-Call system in your area and any utility company that does not subscribe to the One-Call system. For areas not represented by One-Call Systems International, contact the appropriate utility companies or national regulating authority to locate and mark the underground installations. If you do not call, you may have an accident or suffer injuries; cause interruption of services; damage the environment; or experience job delays.

OSHA CFR 29 1926.651 requires that the estimated location of underground utilities be determined before beginning the excavation or underground drilling operation. When the actual excavation or bore approaches an estimated utility location, the exact location of the underground installation must be determined by a safe, acceptable and dependable method. If the utility cannot be precisely located, it must be shut off by the utility company.